## **RESUME'** A G E N D A

# GARDEN GROVE PLANNING COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

FEBRUARY 16, 2006

## COMMUNITY MEETING CENTER 11300 STANFORD AVENUE

REGULAR SESSION - 7:00 P.M.

ROLL CALL: CHAIR JONES, VICE CHAIR MARGOLIN COMMISSIONERS CHI, LECONG, MARGOLIN, PIERCE All present.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Led by Commissioner Pierce.

- A. <u>ORAL COMMUNICATIONS PUBLIC</u> None.
- B. <u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES:</u> February 2, 2006 Approved by six ayes with one amendment.
- C. <u>PUBLIC HEARINGS</u> (Authorization for the Chair to execute Resolution shall be included in the motion.)
  - C.1. <u>SITE PLAN NO. SP-386-06</u>

APPLICANT: YEN PHAM AND JOHNNY TRAN

LOCATION: EAST SIDE OF LUCILLE AVENUE, SOUTH OF

LAMPSON AVENUE AT 12762 LUCILLE AVENUE

REQUEST: To construct two (2) detached, two-story multiple-

family units with a combined living area of 4,997 square feet, with each unit having an attached twocar garage, on a 10,850 square foot lot improved with an existing single-family home. The site is in

the R-2 (Limited Multiple Residential) zone.

ACTION: Other than the applicant, and the applicant's

> representative, no one spoke in favor of or in opposition to the request. Resolution No. 5534 was approved by six ayes. No further action unless

appealed by March 9, 2006.

#### SITE PLAN NO. SP-389-06 C.2.

APPLICANT: DZUNG (DENNIS) VO

LOCATION: SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LAMPSON AVENUE AND

JOSEPHINE STREET AT 8752 LAMPSON AVENUE

REQUEST: To construct a two-story, 6,195 square foot

> residential duplex with two (2) attached, two-car enclosed garages on a 12,875 square foot lot. The site is in the R-3 (Multiple-Family Residential) zone.

ACTION: The case was continued to a date uncertain.

### C.3. PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT NO. PUD-107-96 (REV. 05)

APPLICANT: SIGNS & SERVICES COMPANY

LOCATION: SOUTH SIDE OF TRASK AVENUE, EAST OF

MAGNOLIA STREET AT 9140 TRASK AVENUE

REQUEST: To modify the condition of approval for Planned

Unit Development No. PUD-107-96 to modify the

sign requirement to allow pole signs in the

development.

ACTION: Other than the applicant, the owner and the

owner's wife, no one spoke in favor of the request and one person spoke in opposition to the request. One letter was written in opposition to the request

and two letters were written in favor of the request. Resolution No. 5536, for denial of the request, was approved by six ayes. No further action unless appealed by March 9, 2006.