

WRITTEN COMMENTS CITY COUNCIL – 3-9-2021

Topic: Blighted PE Right of way at Brookhurst and Bixby and a request for urban forest with water feature; a formal complaint against the Traffic Division for delaying release of public records; consider support for AB 24 for a state seal of civic engagement to be affixed to the diploma of qualifying high school graduates, based on a demonstration of excellence in civics education and participation.

Craig Durfey

Topic: Would like to know Mayor's position on the reopening of the Garden Grove Unified School District, High School Senior graduation ceremonies in person, and failures on the part of the Garden Grove Unified School District.

Lynn Groff

Topic: Ordinance No. 2919 –in regards to Accessory Dwelling Units

Michele Truong: Requests a "No" vote.

Hoa Nguyen: Requests two stories and 1200 square feet.

Vu Pham: Requests 1200 square feet.

Tam Nguyen: Requests 1200 square feet.

Natalie Tran: Requests 1200 square feet.

Tina Dinh: Requests 1200 square feet.

Loc Luu: Requests 1200 square feet.

Quyen Tat: Requests 1200 square feet; allow for more hardscape for parking.

Peter Phung: Opposes Ordinance No. 2919

Dr. Chi Bui: Opposes Ordinance No. 2919

Dang Do: Opposes Ordinance No. 2919

Amy Vu: Requests 1200 square feet

Hung Nguyen: Requests 1200 square feet

Hung Ngo: Opposes limiting ADU's square footage

Eric Malta: Opposes Ordinance No. 2919

Thi Tran, Vinh Nguy: Requests 1200 square feet or larger.

Chuong Huynh: Requests 1200 square feet.

Jenny Bui: Requests 1200 square feet.

Diane Nguyen: Requests 1200 square feet.

Garden Grove Citizen: Requests 1200 square feet.

Phuc Dinh: Requests 1200 square feet.

Garden Grove Resident: Requests 1200 square feet.

Thanh Tran: Requests 1200 square feet.

Tiffany Oanh Ngo: Requests 1200 square feet.

Alan Nguyen: Requests 1200 square feet.

Yen Cao: Requests 1200 square feet and additional hardscape.

Thai Phan: Supports 1200 square feet.

Garden Grove Resident: Opposes Ordinance No. 2919.

Joseph: Opposes Ordinance No. 2919

Trung Nguy: Supports larger ADU and additional hardscape for off-street parking.

Mike Truong: Opposes Ordinance No. 2919 – more study needed for making a decision.

**Fwd: Public comments City Council meeting 03-09-2021 I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act**

**From :** Craig A Durfey <cadurfey@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 08:31 AM

**Subject :** Fwd: Public comments City Council meeting 03-09-2021 I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act



4 attachments

**To :** Public Records Request <cityclerk@ggcity.org>, Craig Durfey <cadurfey@gmail.com>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <cadurfey@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Mar 8, 2021 at 8:13 PM

Subject: Public comments City Council meeting 03-09-2021 I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act

To: Phat Bui <phatb@ggcity.org>, <cadurfey@gmail.com>, <citymanager@ggcity.org>, stevej <stevej@garden-grove.org>, <stephanek@garden-grove.org>, <georgeb@ggcity.org>, <joneill@garden-grove.org>, <JOHN.HANNA@asm.ca.gov>, <thuhan@ggcity.org>, <kimn@garden-grove.org>, Maria Stipe <marias@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, <Emily.Humpal@asm.ca.gov>, <Assemblymember.Nguyen@assembly.ca.gov>, Richard <Richard.Santana@sen.ca.gov>, <LISA.TRAN@sen.ca.gov>, <teresap@ggcity.org>, <Public Records Request > <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

**03-08-2021**

**(P.R.D.D.C.)**

**PARENTS FOR THE RIGHTS OF DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED CHILDRE**

**CRAIG A. DURFEY FOUNDER OF P.R.D.D.C.**

**P.O.BOX 937 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92842**

**CELL 714-321-8238**

**CADURFEY@GMAIL.COM**

**SOCIALEMOTIONALPAWS.COM**

**FACEBOOK: CRAIG DURFEY**

**U.S. HOUSE OF CONGRESS H2404 - HONORING CRAIG DURFEY FOR HIS FIGHT AGAINST AUTISM ... Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California.**

<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2003-03-27/pdf/CREC-2003-03-27.pdf>

**new website [socialemotionalpaws.org](http://socialemotionalpaws.org)**

**Public comments , I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act with your traffic Division Staff. The past year in 2020 your staff responsible traffic commission**

**Mayor Steve Jones**

**11222 Acacia Parkway  
Garden Grove, CA 92840  
City Council  
CC Calif State Assembly  
Cc, Calif State Senate**

**Dear Mayor Jones**

**I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act with your traffic Division Staff. The year of 2020 your staff responsible for operational to hold the traffic commission meetings delayed for me to attend the traffic commission meetings. One time the secretary she had park her car in the Community center parking lot she then drove out to the street knowing I was there. She that new I was in the parking lot to attend the Traffic Commission Meeting, she failed to unlock the west side door so had to tap on the door only one minute before public comments this was not first time this has happened, so only when I tapped the outer door several times they herd a me at the very last second before public comment was to start had I not done so I would unable to attend a public meeting Then when I wrote a public comment to the Traffic Commission submitted by email, they never received the written document when the Commissioner requested a copy the secretary had none so I had an extra copy.**

**Here below is an except from Dec,17,2020 weekly memo from the City Manager with a Memo 1b from Lis Kim as the document for a grant to SCAG page eight one right hand corner of the PDF or page 15 with adobe count left corner.**

**The Active Downtown Plan provided citywide collision data from January 2014 to December 2018 obtained from the Traffic Injury Mapping Systems. The information analyzed the Downtown area within a half-mile radius and of the occurred 762 collisions, 27 were pedestrian-involved and 76 were bicyclist-involved. Both were an increase since 2017, with pedestrian-involved doubling and bicyclist-involved collisions nearly tripling. Intersections with high pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved collisions frequency include Garden Grove Boulevard and Nelson Street (southwest of Project site), Nelson Street and Stanford Avenue (northwest of Project site), and Euclid Street and Garden Grove Boulevard (southeast of Project site). Approximately 80% of pedestrian-involved collisions and 700% of bicyclist-involved collisions occurred within 250 feet of an intersection. I want to point out was the information had talking about traffic report accidents.**

**On Dec,07,of 2020 #6437 on Dec,17,2020 was as Due date extended to: Monday, January 04, 2021 on that dated they only provided the Trail Bid summary no response to my request with traffic studies which I had clearly, I had identified the locations. The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated February 25, 2020, Request #6662 The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated February 25, 2020, Request #6662. The City's intent is to comply with your request for public records, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (the "Act") (Government Code §6250 et seq.).**

**The City is in the process of evaluating this request and is compiling records in an effort to make a determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the City of Garden Grove. However, notice is hereby given that the time limit to make this initial determination under the code shall be extended by fourteen (14) days to March 22, 2020, pursuant to Government Code §6253, for the following reason:**

**(a) The extension of time is reasonable and necessary for the proper processing of the request.**

**As noted above, the City's written determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records in the possession of the agency shall be sent to you on or about March 22, 2020. Pursuant to the Act, thereafter any and all disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records that are deemed responsive to your request will be made promptly available to you.**

**Sincerely,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove**

**Due date extended to: Monday, March 22, 2021**

**I requested a public records act with all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.**

**The time frame for my request from the traffic division hasn't provided me with a complete response for my request that they continue to abuse the extension now it won't XXXXXXXX this has been going from Dec, Jan, March 25,2021 maybe but no promises !!!!!!!!!!! They have past of no return they have far exceeded the intent of the laws. That the public records act is State Constitution right of the public to seek government documents that good government is all about having transparency.**

**Below are the copy of the ongoing trail of the same request such I decided to pose a response from the memo to be more specific to ask from SCAG application verbiage (January 2014 to December 2018 obtained from the Traffic Injury Mapping Systems) then resubmitted my request with what was already demonstrated in the legal document, since the very beginning in Dec,07,2021 with locations, so I turned around to be more specific to what information they were seeking with their terminology to see what they would do and sure enough they again repeated the request to be more specific. Wow what a great experience to have spend writing a detail letter while the continue waste city time playing game to the public.**

**I request this be dealt with the City policy to personal matters a letter from the City Council to ensure me this practice will no longer be tolerated.**

**Thank You**

**Craig A. Durfey**

**Founder of P.R.D.D.C.**

**FOOT NOTES BELOW**

**Message from Amanda M Pollock**

**Per the Engineering division, the request is too vague to understand the exact records to provide in order to fulfill this request. Please clearly specify which segments you are requesting. Also, please specify what type of traffic study and a date range for the request.**

**Question was asked for grantee b. Describe any factors that impact safety in the project corridor (high speeds, lack of**

**infrastructure, community disinvestment, etc.). How does this project respond to an identified safety need and how will this project engage non-traditional, stakeholders, and the most impacted communities to address these factors?**

**Answer response.**

**The lack of pedestrian lighting, high-visibility crosswalks, push-buttons, signal-heads, yieldlines, signage, handicap-access, bulb-outs, and change-lanes are factors that hinder the safety of the Project area. The Active Downtown Plan provided citywide collision data from January 2014 to December 2018 obtained from the Traffic Injury Mapping Systems. The information analyzed the Downtown area within a half-mile radius and of the occurred 762 collisions, 27 were pedestrian-involved and 76 were bicyclist-involved. Both were an increase since 2017, with pedestrian-involved doubling and bicyclist-involved collisions nearly tripling. Intersections with high pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved collisions frequency include Garden Grove Boulevard and Nelson Street (southwest of Project site), Nelson Street and Stanford Avenue (northwest of Project site), and Euclid Street and Garden Grove Boulevard (southeast of Project site). Approximately 80% of pedestrian-involved collisions and 70% of bicyclist involved collisions occurred within 250 feet of an intersection.**

**In Dec,07,of 2020 #6437 on Dec,17,2020 was as Due date extended to: Monday, January 04, 2021 on that dated they only provided the Trail Bid summary no response to my request with traffic studies which I had clearly I identified the locations.**

**I requested a public records act with all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.**

**Request #6662**

**RESPONSE PRIVATE**

**FROM FIRST REQUEST TO A SECOND REQUEST NOW THIRD REQUEST FROM #6437**

**request all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.**

**Correspondence**

**Due date extended by Amanda M Pollock**

**Request for Public Records – City of Garden Grove Notice of Extension**

**The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated February 25, 2020, Request #6662. The City's intent is to comply with your request for public records, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (the "Act") (Government Code §6250 et seq.).**

**The City is in the process of evaluating this request and is compiling records in an effort to make a determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the City of Garden Grove. However, notice is hereby given that the time limit to make this initial determination under the code shall be extended by fourteen (14) days to March 22, 2020, pursuant to Government Code §6253, for the following reason:**

**(a) The extension of time is reasonable and necessary for the proper processing of the request.**

As noted above, the City's written determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records in the possession of the agency shall be sent to you on or about March 22, 2020. Pursuant to the Act, thereafter any and all disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records that are deemed responsive to your request will be made promptly available to you.

Sincerely,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove

Due date extended to: Monday, March 22, 2021

7 minutes ago

Message from Amanda M Pollock

Per the Engineering division, the request is too vague to understand the exact records to provide in order to fulfill this request. Please clearly specify which segments you are requesting. Also, please specify what type of traffic study and a date range for the request.

Thank you,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove

3 days ago

Assigned to Rosemarie Jacot

February 25

Request received

February 25

Request #6437: Message from AMANDA M POLLOCK

Inbox



Public Records Request <[cityclerk@ggcity.org](mailto:cityclerk@ggcity.org)>  
to

Public Records Request System  
=====

Message from AMANDA M POLLOCK:

Request has been completed.

Please follow this link to access this request:  
[http://ggcity.org/records\\_request/requests/6437](http://ggcity.org/records_request/requests/6437)



Public Records Request <[cityclerk@ggcity.org](mailto:cityclerk@ggcity.org)>  
to

Public Records Request System  
=====

Message from AMANDA M POLLOCK:

Please see attached bid items and costs per item.

Per the City's Engineering Division, items 28, 29, 30, and 38 pertain to traffic related items. Item 28 is for Bixby/Brookhurst intersection signal upgrade. Items 29 and 30 are for Lampson, Nutwood, and Stanford. Item 38 is

**the Striping and Signing for the entire project.**

**No traffic study prepared specifically for this project. request all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.**

**Correspondence**

**Thank you,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove**

**Please follow this link to access this request:**

[http://gocity.org/records\\_request/requests/6437/token/162cb1828b10d1087c767b719ef005b3](http://gocity.org/records_request/requests/6437/token/162cb1828b10d1087c767b719ef005b3)

**Craig A Durfey <[cadurfey@gmail.com](mailto:cadurfey@gmail.com)>  
to Public**

**please provide the delayed second request of the traffic studies of #6437 overdue.**

**Thank You**

**Craig**

**Request #6437**

**PUBLIC**

**request all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.**

**Correspondence**

**Request has been completed.**

**January 04**

**Message from Amanda M Pollock**

**Please see attached bid items and costs per item.**

**Per the City's Engineering Division, items 28, 29, 30, and 38 pertain to traffic related items. Item 28 is for Bixby/Brookhurst intersection signal upgrade. Items 29 and 30 are for Lampson, Nutwood, and Stanford. Item 38 is the Striping and Signing for the entire project.**

**No traffic study prepared specifically for this project.**



Thank you,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove

[6437-Bike\\_Trail\\_Bid\\_Summary.pdf](#)

January 04

**Due date extended by Amanda M Pollock**

**Request for Public Records – City of Garden Grove Notice of Extension**

The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated December 7, 2020, Request #6437. The City's intent is to comply with your request for public records, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (the "Act") (Government Code §6250 et seq.).

The City is in the process of evaluating this request and is compiling records in an effort to make a determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the City of Garden Grove. However, notice is hereby given that the time limit to make this initial determination under the code shall be extended by fourteen (14) days to January 4, 2021 (due to City Hall holiday closures), pursuant to Government Code §6253, for the following reason:

(a) The extension of time is reasonable and necessary for the proper processing of the request.

As noted above, the City's written determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records in the possession of the agency shall be sent to you on or about January 4, 2021. Pursuant to the Act, thereafter any and all disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records that are deemed responsive to your request will be made promptly available to you.

Sincerely,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove

**Due date extended to: Monday, January 04, 2021**

**December 17, 2020**

**Assigned to Rosemarie Jacot**

**December 08, 2020**

**Request received**

**December 07, 2020**

---

 **6437-Bike\_Trail\_Bid\_Summary (1).pdf**  
237 KB

 **WEEKLY MEMO FOR DEC,17,2020 SCAG GRANT TRAFFIC STUDY PAGE 8 wm121720.pdf**  
4 MB

---

**PUBLIC COMMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING FOR 03-09-2021**

**From :** Craig A Durfey <cadurfey@gmail.com>  
**Subject :** PUBLIC COMMENT CITY COUNCIL MEETING FOR 03-09-2021  
**To :** Public Records Request <cityclerk@ggcity.org>, Craig Durfey <cadurfey@gmail.com>  
External images are not displayed. [Display images below](#)

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 08:34 AM

**PUBLIC COMMENTES ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AS EVIDANCE TO OCTA BOARD MEETING MARCH 8TH 2021 THE OLD PE ELECTRIC FROM BROOKHURST/BIXBY BIGHTED AREA NOT MAINTAINED & INCREASE URBAN FOREST WELLNESS WITH WATER FEATURES**

Inbox

[cadurfey@gmail.com](mailto:cadurfey@gmail.com)

to me, nickdibs1, boardofdirectors, Richard, teresap, citymanager, Maria, georgeb, :, thuhan, joneill, stevej, stephaniek, communityrelations, kimn, <Ginay, dlenyi, policechief, [IGOODBRAND@CITYOFIRVINE.ORG](mailto:IGOODBRAND@CITYOFIRVINE.ORG), LISA.TRAN, Lisa.Bartlett, Christopher.Aguilera, Carlos, Clayton, Cassandra.Perez, media, 03-07-2021

(P.R.D.D.C.)

**PARENTS FOR THE RIGHTS OF DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED**

**CRAIG A. DURFEY FOUNDER OF P.R.D.D.C.**

**P.O.BOX 937 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92842**

**CELL 714-321-8238**

**[CADURFEY@GMAIL.COM](mailto:CADURFEY@GMAIL.COM)**

**[SOCIALEMOTIONALPAWS.COM](http://SOCIALEMOTIONALPAWS.COM)**

**[FACEBOOK: CRAIG DURFEY](#)**

**U.S. HOUSE OF CONGRESS H2404 - HONORING CRAIG DURFEY FOR HIS FIGHT AGAINST AUTI**

[https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2003-03-27/pdf/CREC-](https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2003-03-27/pdf/CREC-2003-03-27.pdf)

**new website [socialemotionalpaws.org](http://socialemotionalpaws.org)**

**public comment to OCTA & City of Garden Grove & to who it may concern.**

**Chairman Andrew Do**

**OCTA Board**

550 S Main St, Orange

(714) 560-6282

**Mayor Steve Jones**

**City of Garden Grove**

11222 Acacia Parkway

Garden Grove, CA 92840.

Phone (714) 741 - 5000

**cc. City Council**

**cc. To whom it may concern.**

**cc. Placentia Police Chief Darin Lenyi**

**cc. Orange County Chiefs' of Police & Sheriff's Association (OCCPSA)**

**cc. Orange County District Attorney Todd Spitzer**

**Dear Chairman, Do**

**Requesting to attach my first email under public comments with additional supportive information to seek the entire Board demands for additional park acreage that the PE Electric Rail corridor is a solution needed with housing density growth with the different Cities opportunities to increase urban forest parks acreage with high quality parks to reduce the VMT and**

**Since OCTA is the master holder of the PE Electric Rail corridor sum approximate 13 miles, that I wrote an editorial in the stress»: [http://www.oc-breeze.com/2021/02/20/193937\\_letter-to-the-editor-inadequate-park-acreage-contributes-to-mental-and-emotional-stress/](http://www.oc-breeze.com/2021/02/20/193937_letter-to-the-editor-inadequate-park-acreage-contributes-to-mental-and-emotional-stress/) T without any consideration to local community growing needs. From Sacramento by not supporting local sound urban planning forest, by not having adequate park acreage per 1,000 residents. Research studies have demonstrated ramifications effect Mental Health ([socialemotionalpaws.org](http://socialemotionalpaws.org)) and 'Blue' space: Access to water features can boost city dwellers' ([socialemotionalpaws.org](http://socialemotionalpaws.org)). in a sweeping that childhood exposure to green space—parks, forests, rural lands, etc.—reduces the risk for developing an array of psychological reaching implications for healthy city design, making green space-focused urban planning an early intervention tool for reducing**

**Using data from the Landsat satellite archive and the Danish Civil Registration System, researchers tracked the residential mental health outcomes. The scientists found that citizens who grew up with the least green space nearby had as much a depression, anxiety, and substance abuse in later years.**

**The research was published in the [Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences](#). It is the largest epidemiological study to document The impact of green space throughout childhood is significant. Exposure to green space is comparable to family history and status was a slightly stronger indicator.**

**Researchers are still working out exactly why green space is so beneficial, but it clearly provides health benefits across the decrease noise and air pollution, and improve immune function by providing exposure to beneficial microbiota. It also can over-stimulated minds.**

**Green space most strongly protects against mood disorders, depression, neurotic behavior, and stress-related issues, the protective mechanism that green space offers. The effect of green space is also dose-dependent, meaning those who have**

**From my research that individual Cities for the most part can't afford to develop nor maintain the ongoing cost with Sacramento forest parks than later with health, wellness, VMT, and AB 209 year 2019 land water conservation funds has not become a into why our State isn't receiving its share with AB 209 year 2019 for the next five years to expand new parks as well as rep**

**From most recent interview this year in the voice of Voice OC there was an interview with the District Attorney Todd Spitzer is publicly calling on his colleagues to overhaul how they deal with drug use and mental illness – offering treatment instead drug use or mental illness should have the option of treatment instead of jail.**

**In an interview Friday, the DA told Voice of OC he wants to start with cases where people are under the influence of drugs is unclear.**

**"I don't believe that we should be sending mentally ill people to jail. We should be, immediately upon arrest, evaluating the interview earlier that day on NPR affiliate KPCC.**

**Spitzer specifically argues people arrested for drug use or mental illness should have the option of treatment instead of jail they're not getting any help, and their issue only becomes worse, and they become a convict. And then their chances of success the front end," he added. "The Orange County Chiefs' of Police & Sheriff's Association (OCCPSA) stands committed and supports who suffer from addiction and/or mental illness," the association said in a statement to Voice of OC.**

**“We too believe there needs to be more robust programs to deal with these issues. Police leaders in Orange County have a statement, which was provided by the group’s president, Placentia Police Chief Darin Lenyi. Barnes didn’t provide comment on more treatment options. “Law enforcement should not be the strategy or the first face of government these individuals encounter. “Law enforcement should be the last form of government these people encounter, and only when the intervention efforts have failed. Chaffee and Don Wagner are joining those calls for more treatment options instead of jail – as are some of the leading candidates for Jail for Drug Use and Mental Illness ([voiceofoc.org](http://voiceofoc.org)).**

**From the Ca State bill AB 2020 year 2017-2018 was section from the consultant from the Assembly floor. The California Commission on Children’s Mental Health bill that 300,000 California children between ages four and 11 have mental health needs, but more than 70 percent never receive services. Youth in poverty or with non-English speaking parents. Additionally, nearly 57 percent of California children have experienced trauma, which is connected to poor educational outcomes, directly affecting student attendance, behavior, and readiness to learn. This issue is a national concern. Educators believe themselves lacking the proper training to address a child’s mental health needs. CBHA states that in California it is 1265 to one; and for social workers it is calculated at 12,870 to one. CBHA concludes that this bill would address the need for a mental health professional be present on campus to provide timely and equitable access for students.**

**Chairman Do for last 23 years as the founder of P.R.D.D.C. at no time has mental wellness has magnified from the over construction of isolation covid19 in conjunction with a limited green space an urban forest. Senator Hertzberg staff shared with me our hope for consideration that OCTA become a part of the solution being owner the old PE Electric corridor in a partnership with other organizations to create a quality park with wellness centers as urban forest with water features, education centers.**

**Above attachments are images from Brookhurst/Bixby and with an aerial take in the location of the new Sprouts development. <https://www.facebook.com/joshua.lindsay.35/videos/10223334410124456> as this will illustrate of the on going blight to Chapman Avenue as it has gone into urban landscape. The current removal of cars on the west side to needs for expansion of development of Sprouts requires clear all fencing. The blight degrades our community as resident it very important develop this as urban forest for mental wellness.**

**Thank You**

**Craig A. Durfey  
Founder of P.R.D.D.C.**



---

**PUBLIC COMMENTES ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AS EVIDANCE TO OCTA BOARD MEETING MARCH 8TH 2021 THE OLD PE ELECTRIC FROM BROOKHURST/BIXBY BIGHTED AREA NOT MAINTAINED & INCREASE URBAN FOREST WELLNESS WITH WATER FEATURES**

---

**From :** cadurfey@gmail.com

Sun, Mar 07, 2021 04:50 PM

**Subject :** PUBLIC COMMENTES ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS AS EVIDANCE TO OCTA BOARD MEETING MARCH 8TH 2021 THE OLD PE ELECTRIC FROM BROOKHURST/BIXBY BIGHTED AREA NOT MAINTAINED & INCREASE URBAN FOREST WELLNESS WITH WATER FEATURES

 9 attachments

**To :** cadurfey@gmail.com, nickdibs1@gmail.com, boardofdirectors@octa.net, 'Richard' <Richard.Santana@sen.ca.gov>, teresap@ggcity.org, citymanager@ggcity.org, 'Maria Stipe' <marias@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, georgeb@ggcity.org, 'Maureen Blackmun' <maureen.ggna@gmail.com>, thuhan@garden-grove.org

**Cc :** joneill@garden-grove.org, 'stevej' <stevej@garden-grove.org>, stephaniek@garden-grove.org, communityrelations@ggcity.org, thuhan@garden-grove.org, kimn@garden-grove.org, <Gabriela Mafi <gmafi@ggusd.us>, kmcamis@ggusd.us, 'Walter Muneton' <walter.muneton@ggusd.us>, teri rocco <teri.rocco@ggusd.us>, 'Dina Nguyen' <dina.nguyen@ggusd.us>, 'Bob Harden' <bob.harden@ggusd.us>, 'Lan Nguyen' <lan.nguyen@ggusd.us>, "'LLARSON@OCTA.NET'" <llarson@octa.net>, PETER@ggcity.org, 'Charlie Larwood' <clarwood@octa.net>, jdonnay@octa.net, dlenyi@placentia.org, policechief@anaheim.net, 'TGOODBRAND@CITYOFIRVINE.ORG' <TGray@orangepd.org>, LISA TRAN <LISA.TRAN@sen.ca.gov>, Lisa Bartlett <Lisa.Bartlett@ocgov.com>, Christopher Aguilera <Christopher.Aguilera@asm.ca.gov>, 'Carlos' <Carlos.Condarco@mail.house.gov>, 'Clayton' <Clayton.Heard@mail.house.gov>, Cassandra Perez <Cassandra.Perez@mail.house.gov>, media@da.ocgov.com, Andy Perez <Andy.Perez@dot.ca.gov>, JOHN HANNA <JOHN.HANNA@asm.ca.gov>, 'Jonathan Hughes' <hughesj@scag.ca.gov>, "'Kevin J. Gilhooley'" <gilhooley@scag.ca.gov>, Emily Humpal <Emily.Humpal@asm.ca.gov>,

emedrano@laocbuildingtrades.org, >,  
RANDYBLACK@ocfa.org

03-07-2021

(P.R.D.D.C.)

**PARENTS FOR THE RIGHTS OF DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED CHILDREN**

**CRAIG A. DURFEY FOUNDER OF P.R.D.D.C.**

**P.O.BOX 937 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92842**

**CELL 714-321-8238**

**CADURFEY@GMAIL.COM**

**SOCIALEMOTIONALPAWS.COM**

**FACEBOOK: CRAIG DURFEY**

**U.S. HOUSE OF CONGRESS H2404 - HONORING CRAIG DURFEY FOR HIS FIGHT AGAINST  
AUTISM ... Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California.**

**<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2003-03-27/pdf/CREC-2003-03-27.pdf>**

**new website [socialemotionalpaws.org](http://socialemotionalpaws.org)**

**public comment to OCTA & City of Garden Grove & to who it may concern.**

**Chairman Andrew Do**

**OCTA Board**

**550 S Main St, Orange**

**(714) 560-6282**

**Mayor Steve Jones**

**City of Garden Grove**

**11222 Acacia Parkway**

**Garden Grove, CA 92840.**

**Phone (714) 741 - 5000**

**cc. City Council**

**cc. To whom it may concern.**

**cc. Placentia Police Chief Darin Lenyi**

**cc. Orange County Chiefs' of Police & Sheriff's Association (OCCPSA)**

**cc. Orange County District Attorney Todd Spitzer**

**Dear Chairman, Do**

**Requesting to attach my first email under public comments with additional supportive information to seek the entire Board consideration to revise the outdated guiding principle of the in light of recent demands for additional park acreage that the PE Electric Rail corridor is a solution needed with housing density growth this additional supportive evidence is provide the**

**opportunity of OCTA to help with the different Cities opportunities to increase urban forest parks acreage with high quality parks to reduce the VMT and improve early intervention mental wellness.**

**Since OCTA is the master holder of the PE Electric Rail corridor sum approximate 13 miles, that I wrote an editorial in the OC Breeze Inadequate park acreage contributes to mental and emotional stress»:** [http://www.oc-breeze.com/2021/02/20/193937\\_letter-to-the-editor-inadequate-park-acreage-contributes-to-mental-and-emotional-stress/](http://www.oc-breeze.com/2021/02/20/193937_letter-to-the-editor-inadequate-park-acreage-contributes-to-mental-and-emotional-stress/) **The shortages of housing with increase by SCAG calling for more housing without any consideration to local community growing needs. From Sacramento by not supporting local sound urban planning from Sacramento generates an imbalance in local governments' urban forest, by not having adequate park acreage per 1,000 residents. Research studies have demonstrated ramifications effecting children's developing a rise in mental illness by 55%. Green Space is Good for Mental Health (socialemotionalpaws.org) and 'Blue' space: Access to water features can boost city dwellers' (socialemotionalpaws.org). in a sweeping nationwide study, researchers from Denmark's University of Aarhus found that childhood exposure to green space—parks, forests, rural lands, etc.—reduces the risk for developing an array of psychiatric disorders during adolescence and adulthood. The study could have far-reaching implications for healthy city design, making green space-focused urban planning an early intervention tool for reducing mental health problems.**

**Using data from the Landsat satellite archive and the Danish Civil Registration System, researchers tracked the residential green space around nearly a million Danes and correlated that with their mental health outcomes. The scientists found that citizens who grew up with the least green space nearby had as much as a 55 percent increased risk of developing psychiatric disorders such as depression, anxiety, and substance abuse in later years.**

**The research was published in the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. It is the largest epidemiological study to document a positive connection between green space and mental health.**

**The impact of green space throughout childhood is significant. Exposure to green space is comparable to family history and parental age when predicting mental health outcomes. Only socioeconomic status was a slightly stronger indicator.**

**Researchers are still working out exactly why green space is so beneficial, but it clearly provides health benefits across the population. It can**



encourage exercise, provide spaces for socializing, decrease noise and air pollution, and improve immune function by providing exposure to beneficial microbiota. It also can help with psychological restoration; that is, green space provides a respite for over-stimulated minds.

Green space most strongly protects against mood disorders, depression, neurotic behavior, and stress-related issues, the study found, signaling that psychological restoration may be the strongest protective mechanism that green space offers. The effect of green space is also dose-dependent, meaning those who have longer exposures to green space have greater mental health benefits.

**From my research that individual Cities for the most part can't afford to develop nor maintain the ongoing cost with Sacramento becoming more supportive role early in life prevention wellness urban forest parks than later with health, wellness, VMT, and AB 209 year 2019 land water conservation funds has not become a true reality from the Federal \$900,000,000 each year this needs further looking into why our State isn't receiving its share with AB 209 year 2019 for the next five years to expand new parks as well repair.**

**From most recent interview this year in the voice of Voice OC there was an interview with the District Attorney Todd Spitzer, who oversees the vast majority of criminal prosecutions in Orange County, is publicly calling on his colleagues to overhaul how they deal with drug use and mental illness – offering treatment instead of jail and criminal charges. Spitzer specifically argues people arrested for drug use or mental illness should have the option of treatment instead of jail.**

**In an interview Friday, the DA told Voice of OC he wants to start with cases where people are under the influence of drugs – which he estimated at up to thousands of cases a year – though the timeline is unclear.**

**“I don't believe that we should be sending mentally ill people to jail. We should be, immediately upon arrest, evaluating them and triaging them and putting them into programs,” Spitzer said in a radio interview earlier that day on NPR affiliate KPCC.**

**Spitzer specifically argues people arrested for drug use or mental illness should have the option of treatment instead of jail. “Those who are addicted to drugs should not be spending time in jail – where they're not getting any help, and their issue only becomes worse, and they become a convict. And then their chances of social rehabilitation and responsibility are diminished. So, we are not investing at the front end,” he added. “The**

**Orange County Chiefs' of Police & Sheriff's Association (OCCPSA) stands committed and supportive for reasonable alternatives to jail sentences for low level offenders who suffer from addiction and/or mental illness," the association said in a statement to Voice of OC.**

**"We too believe there needs to be more robust programs to deal with these issues. Police leaders in Orange County have empathy for those suffering from these diseases and their families," said the statement, which was provided by the group's president, Placentia Police Chief Darin Lenyi. Barnes didn't provide comment by deadline, though his spokeswoman noted the sheriff has publicly called for more treatment options. "Law enforcement should not be the strategy or the first face of government these individuals encounter or rely upon for help," Barnes told a presidential commission last year. "Law enforcement should be the last form of government these people encounter, and only when the intervention efforts have failed resulting in a criminal violation of law County supervisors Doug Chaffee and Don Wagner are joining those calls for more treatment options instead of jail – as are some of the leading candidates for the vacant supervisors' seat. [Top OC Prosecutor Calls for Treatment Instead of Jail for Drug Use and Mental Illness \(voiceofoc.org\).](#)**

**From the Ca State bill AB 2020 year 2017-2018 was section from the consultant from the Assembly floor. The California Council of Community Behavioral Health Agencies (CBHA) states in support of the bill that 300,000 California children between ages four and 11 have mental health needs, but more than 70 percent never receive treatment. CBHA states that this figure rises to over 80 percent among youth in poverty or with non-English speaking parents. Additionally, nearly 57 percent of California children have experienced some form of trauma. CBHA notes that unmet mental health needs are connected to poor educational outcomes, directly affecting student attendance, behavior, and readiness to learn. This issue ranks among the highest concerns for educators and research shows that educators believe themselves lacking the proper training to address a child's mental health needs. CBHA states that in California, the student-to-student counselor ratio is 792 to one; for psychologists it is 1265 to one; and for social workers it is calculated at 12,870 to one. CBHA concludes that this bill would address the demand for on-campus mental health services by requiring that at least one mental health professional be present on campus to provide timely and equitable access for students.**

**Chairman Do for last 23 years as the founder of P.R.D.D.C. at no time has mental wellness has magnified from the over consumption of our children**

**use of technology screen time addictions, with isolation covid19 in conjunction with a limited green space an urban forest. Senator Hertzberg staff shared with me our hospitals have increased with children seeking mental wellness. Asking consideration that OCTA become a part of the solution being owner the old PE Electric corridor in a partnership with others to complete the entire approximately 13 miles to Cypress in creating high quality park with wellness centers as urban forest with water features, education centers.**

**Above attachments are images from Brookhurst/Bixby and with an arial take in the location of the new Sprouts development A little aerial view of the Vons pavilion demo**

**<https://www.facebook.com/joshua.lindsay.35/videos/10223334410124456> as this will illustrate of the on going blight to Chapman thou OCTA has been receiving revenue from these leases no actual dollars has gone into urban landscape. The current removal of cars on the west side to needs for expansion of development of Sprouts ought to be done for the remainder east side the remove all leased property clear all fencing. The blight degrades our community as resident it very important develop this as urban forest for mental wellness a calming effect for all ages than its currently noted in the images.**

**Thank You**

**Craig A. Durfey  
Founder of P.R.D.D.C.**

**A little aerial view of the Vons pavilion demo**

**<https://www.facebook.com/joshua.lindsay.35/videos/10223334410124456>**

---



**OCTA LEASED PROPERTY TO A COMPANY TO STORE STEEL BEAMS  
BROOKHURSTBIXBY HOMELESS TRESPASSING IMG\_2519 (1).jpeg**  
525 KB



**#2 IMAGE OCTA LEASED PROPERTY TO A COMPANY TO STORE STEEL  
BEAMS BROOKHURSTBIXBY HOMELESS TRESPASSING IMG\_2518.jpeg**  
854 KB



**#3 IMAGE OCTA LEASED PROPERTY TO A COMPANY TO STORE STEEL  
BEAMS BROOKHURSTBIXBY HOMELESS TRESPASSING IMG\_2516.jpeg**  
815 KB



**IMG\_2423.jpg**  
2 MB



**IMG\_2418.jpg**  
2 MB



**IMG\_2422.jpg**  
2 MB



**IMG\_2435.jpg**  
4 MB



**IMG\_2434.jpg**  
3 MB

**Outdoor\_Equity\_Program\_Draft\_Application\_Guide\_12.30.20\_for\_Public\_Comment\_1.8.21\_v8\_1.15.21 (4).pdf**

849 KB

---

**Public comments City Council meeting 03-09-2021 I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act**

**From :** cadurfey@gmail.com

Mon, Mar 08, 2021 08:13 PM

**Subject :** Public comments City Council meeting 03-09-2021 I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act

4 attachments

**To :** 'Phat Bui' <phatb@ggcity.org>, cadurfey@gmail.com, citymanager@ggcity.org, 'Phat Bui' <phatb@ggcity.org>, 'stevej' <stevej@garden-grove.org>, stephaniek@garden-grove.org, georgeb@ggcity.org, joneill@garden-grove.org, JOHN HANNA <JOHN.HANNA@asm.ca.gov>, thuhan@ggcity.org, kimn@garden-grove.org, 'Maria Stipe' <marias@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, Emily Humpal <Emily.Humpal@asm.ca.gov>, Assemblymember Nguyen <Assemblymember.Nguyen@Assembly.ca.gov>, 'Richard' <Richard.Santana@sen.ca.gov>, LISA TRAN <LISA.TRAN@sen.ca.gov>, JOHN HANNA <JOHN.HANNA@asm.ca.gov>, cadurfey@gmail.com, teresap@ggcity.org, <Public Records Request <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

03-08-2021

**(P.R.D.D.C.)  
PARENTS FOR THE RIGHTS OF DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED CHILDRE  
CRAIG A. DURFEY FOUNDER OF P.R.D.D.C.  
P.O.BOX 937 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92842  
CELL 714-321-8238  
CADURFEY@GMAIL.COM  
SOCIALEMOTIONALPAWS.COM  
FACEBOOK: CRAIG DURFEY**

**U.S. HOUSE OF CONGRESS H2404 - HONORING CRAIG DURFEY FOR HIS FIGHT AGAINST AUTISM ... Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California.**

<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2003-03-27/pdf/CREC-2003-03-27.pdf>  
**new website socialemotionalpaws.org**

**Public comments , I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act with your traffic Division Staff. The past year in 2020 your staff responsible traffic commission**

**Mayor Steve Jones  
11222 Acacia Parkway  
Garden Grove, CA 92840  
City Council  
CC Calif State Assembly  
Cc, Calif State Senate**

**Dear Mayor Jones**

**I want to file a formal complaint with your City and Council with delaying or denying a public records request under Calif records Act with your traffic Division Staff. The year of 2020 your staff responsible for operational to hold the traffic commission meetings delayed for me to attend the traffic commission meetings. One time the secretary she had park her car in the Community center parking lot she then drove out to the street knowing I was there. She that new I was in the parking lot to attend the Traffic Commission Meeting, she failed to unlock the west side door so had to tap on the door only one minute before public comments this was not first time this has happened, so only when I tapped the outer door several times they herd a me at the very last second before public comment was to start had I not done so I would unable to attend a public meeting Then when I wrote a public comment to the Traffic Commission submitted by email, they never received the written document when the Commissioner requested a copy the secretary had none so I had an extra copy.**

**Here below is an except from Dec,17,2020 weekly memo from the City Manager with a Memo 1b from Lis Kim as the document for a grant to SCAG page eight one right hand corner of the PDF or page 15 with adobe count left corner.**

The Active Downtown Plan provided citywide collision data from January 2014 to December 2018 obtained from the Traffic Injury Mapping Systems. The information analyzed the Downtown area within a half-mile radius and of the occurred 762 collisions, 27 were pedestrian-involved and 76 were bicyclist-involved. Both were an increase since 2077, with pedestrian-involved doubling and bicyclist-involved collisions nearly tripling. Intersections with high pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved collisions frequency include Garden Grove Boulevard and Nelson Street (southwest of Project site), Nelson Street and Stanford Avenue (northwest of Project site), and Euclid Street and Garden Grove Boulevard (southeast of Project site). Approximately 80% of pedestrian-involved collisions and 700% of bicyclist-involved collisions occurred within 250 feet of an intersection. I want to point out was the information had talking about traffic report accidents.

On Dec,07,of 2020 #6437 on Dec,17,2020 was as Due date extended to: Monday, January 04, 2021 on that dated they only provided the Trail Bid summary no response to my request with traffic studies which I had clearly, I had identified the locations. The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated February 25, 2020, Request #6662 The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated February 25, 2020, Request #6662. The City's intent is to comply with your request for public records, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (the "Act") (Government Code §6250 et seq.).

The City is in the process of evaluating this request and is compiling records in an effort to make a determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the City of Garden Grove. However, notice is hereby given that the time limit to make this initial determination under the code shall be extended by fourteen (14) days to March 22, 2020, pursuant to Government Code §6253, for the following reason:

(a) The extension of time is reasonable and necessary for the proper processing of the request.

As noted above, the City's written determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records in the possession of the agency shall be sent to you on or about March 22, 2020. Pursuant to the Act, thereafter any and all disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records that are deemed responsive to your request will be made promptly available to you.

Sincerely,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove

Due date extended to: Monday, March 22, 2021

I requested a public records act with all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.

The time frame for my request from the traffic division hasn't provided me with a complete response for my request that they continue to abuse the extension now it won't XXXXXXXX this has been going from Dec, Jan, March 25,2021 maybe but no promises !!!!!!!!!!! They have past of no return they have far exceeded the intent of the laws. That the public records act is State Constitution right of the public to seek government documents that good government is all about having transparency.

Below are the copy of the ongoing trail of the same request such I decided to pose a response from the memo to be more specific to ask from SCAG application verbiage (January 2014 to December 2018 obtained from the Traffic Injury Mapping Systems) then resubmitted my request with what was already demonstrated in the legal document, since the very beginning in Dec,07,2021 with locations, so I turned around to be more specific to what information they were seeking with their terminology to see what they would do and sure enough they again repeated the request to be more specific. Wow what a great experience to have spend writing a detail letter while the continue waste city time playing game to the public.

I request this be dealt with the City policy to personal matters a letter from the City Council to ensure me this practice will no longer be tolerated.

Thank You

Craig A. Durfey

**Founder of P.R.D.D.C.**

**FOOT NOTES BELOW**

Message from Amanda M Pollock

Per the Engineering division, the request is too vague to understand the exact records to provide in order to fulfill this request. Please clearly specify which segments you are requesting. Also, please specify what type of traffic study and a date range for the request.

**Question was asked for grantee b. Describe any factors that impact safety in the project corridor (high speeds, lack of infrastructure, community disinvestment, etc.). How does this project respond to an identified safety need and how will this project engage non-traditional, stakeholders, and the most impacted communities to address these factors?**

**Answer response.**

**The lack of pedestrian lighting, high-visibility crosswalks, push-buttons, signal-heads, yieldlines, signage, handicap-access, bulb-outs, and change-lanes are factors that hinder the safety of the Project area. The Active Downtown Plan provided citywide collision data from January 2014 to December 2018 obtained from the Traffic Injury Mapping Systems. The information analyzed the Downtown area within a half-mile radius and of the occurred 762 collisions, 27 were pedestrian-involved and 76 were bicyclist-involved. Both were an increase since 2017, with pedestrian-involved doubling and bicyclist-involved collisions nearly tripling. Intersections with high pedestrian- and bicyclist-involved collisions frequency include Garden Grove Boulevard and Nelson Street (southwest of Project site), Nelson Street and Stanford Avenue (northwest of Project site), and Euclid Street and Garden Grove Boulevard (southeast of Project site). Approximately 80% of pedestrian-involved collisions and 70% of bicyclist involved collisions occurred within 250 feet of an intersection.**

**In Dec,07,of 2020 #6437 on Dec,17,2020 was as Due date extended to: Monday, January 04, 2021 on that dated they only provided the Trail Bid summary no response to my request with traffic studies which I had clearly I identified the locations.**

**I requested a public records act with all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.**

Request #6662

RESPONSE PRIVATE

**FROM FIRST REQUEST TO A SECOND REQUEST NOW THIRD REQUEST FROM #6437**

**request all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.**  
Correspondence

**Due date extended by Amanda M Pollock**

**Request for Public Records – City of Garden Grove Notice of Extension**

**The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated February 25, 2020, Request #6662. The City's intent is to comply with your request for public records, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (the "Act") (Government Code §6250 et seq.).**

**The City is in the process of evaluating this request and is compiling records in an effort to make a determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the City of Garden Grove. However, notice is hereby given that the time limit to make this initial determination under the code shall be extended by fourteen (14) days to March 22, 2020, pursuant to Government Code §6253, for the following reason:**



(a) The extension of time is reasonable and necessary for the proper processing of the request.

As noted above, the City's written determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records in the possession of the agency shall be sent to you on or about March 22, 2020. Pursuant to the Act, thereafter any and all disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records that are deemed responsive to your request will be made promptly available to you.

Sincerely,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove

Due date extended to: Monday, March 22, 2021

7 minutes ago

Message from Amanda M Pollock

Per the Engineering division, the request is too vague to understand the exact records to provide in order to fulfill this request. Please clearly specify which segments you are requesting. Also, please specify what type of traffic study and a date range for the request.

Thank you,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove

3 days ago

Assigned to Rosemarie Jacot

February 25

Request received

February 25

Request #6437: Message from AMANDA M POLLOCK  
Inbox

Public Records Request <cityclerk@ggcity.org>  
to  
Public Records Request System  
=====

Message from AMANDA M POLLOCK:

Request has been completed.

Please follow this link to access this request:  
[http://ggcity.org/records\\_request/requests/6437](http://ggcity.org/records_request/requests/6437)

Public Records Request <cityclerk@ggcity.org>  
to  
Public Records Request System  
=====

Message from AMANDA M POLLOCK:

Please see attached bid items and costs per item.

Per the City's Engineering Division, items 28, 29, 30, and 38 pertain to traffic related items. Item 28 is for Bixby/Brookhurst intersection signal upgrade. Items 29 and 30 are for Lampson, Nutwood, and Stanford. Item 38 is the Striping and Signing for the entire project.

No traffic study prepared specifically for this project. request all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail.

Correspondence

Thank you,

**City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove**

**Please follow this link to access this request:**

[http://ggcity.org/records\\_request/requests/6437/token/162cb1828b10d1087c767b719ef005b3](http://ggcity.org/records_request/requests/6437/token/162cb1828b10d1087c767b719ef005b3)

**Craig A Durfey <cadurfey@gmail.com>**

**to Public**

**please provide the delayed second request of the traffic studies of #6437 overdue.**

**Thank You**

**Craig**

**Request #6437**

**PUBLIC**

**request all documents current from traffic engineering with traffic study for Nelson north of Garden Grove Blvd to Stanford next from Main Street to Acacia west top Nelson. Next Lampson from Stanford to Brookhurst east to west. Next Nutwood St on Stanford going east & west to Nelson St. Then Nutwood from Lampson going from Nutwood to Stanford. As well as the cost was spent per street to install pedestrian crosswalk paint with the type of paint bided for on the contract and the pedestrian control push button yellow crossing devise for each segment for the bike trail. Correspondence**

**Request has been completed.**

**January 04**

**Message from Amanda M Pollock**

**Please see attached bid items and costs per item.**

**Per the City's Engineering Division, items 28, 29, 30, and 38 pertain to traffic related items. Item 28 is for Bixby/Brookhurst intersection signal upgrade. Items 29 and 30 are for Lampson, Nutwood, and Stanford. Item 38 is the Striping and Signing for the entire project.**

**No traffic study prepared specifically for this project.**

**Thank you,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove**

[6437-Bike\\_Trail\\_Bid\\_Summary.pdf](#)

**January 04**

**Due date extended by Amanda M Pollock**

**Request for Public Records - City of Garden Grove Notice of Extension**

**The City of Garden Grove has received your records request dated December 7, 2020, Request #6437. The City's intent is to comply with your request for public records, pursuant to the California Public Records Act (the "Act") (Government Code §6250 et seq.).**

**The City is in the process of evaluating this request and is compiling records in an effort to make a determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable public records in the possession of the City of Garden Grove. However, notice is hereby given that the time limit to make this initial determination under the code shall be extended by fourteen (14) days to January 4, 2020 (due to City Hall holiday closures), pursuant to Government Code §6253, for the following reason:**

**(a) The extension of time is reasonable and necessary for the proper processing of the request.**

**As noted above, the City's written determination as to whether the request seeks copies of disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records in the possession of the agency shall be sent to you on or about January 4, 2020. Pursuant to the Act, thereafter any and all disclosable, non-exempt and non-privileged public records that are deemed responsive to your request will be made promptly available to you.**

**Sincerely,  
City Clerk's Office  
City of Garden Grove**

**Due date extended to: Monday, January 04, 2021**

**December 17, 2020**

**Assigned to Rosemarie Jacot**

**December 08, 2020**

**Request received**

**December 07, 2020**

---

**6437-Bike\_Trail\_Bid\_Summary (1).pdf**  
237 KB

**WEEKLY MEMO FOR DEC,17,2020 SCAG GRANT TRAFFIC STUDY PAGE 8 wm121720.pdf**  
4 MB

---

---

**Fwd: PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CITY OF GARDEN GROVE COUNCIL SUPPORT FOR AGENDIZING RESOLUTION AB 24 YEAR 2017 CVIC EDUCATION WITH AB 209 YEAR 2019 OUTDOOR EDUCATION ENVIORMENTAL EXPERIENCES AT STATE PARKS AND OTHER PUBLIC LANDS WHERE OUTDOOR ENVIORMENTAL EDUCATION**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>

Tue, Feb 23, 2021 08:18 AM

**Subject :** Fwd: PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CITY OF GARDEN GROVE COUNCIL SUPPORT FOR AGENDIZING RESOLUTION AB 24 YEAR 2017 CVIC EDUCATION WITH AB 209 YEAR 2019 OUTDOOR EDUCATION ENVIORMENTAL EXPERIENCES AT STATE PARKS AND OTHER PUBLIC LANDS WHERE OUTDOOR ENVIORMENTAL EDUCATION 2 attachments**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "cadurfey" <cadurfey@gmail.com>**To:** citymanager@ggcity.org, "Peter Sotherland" <psotherland@octa.net>, "marias" <marias@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, "Teresa Pomeroy" <teresap@ggcity.org>, "pamha" <pamha@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>, "cityclerk" <cityclerk@ggcity.org>, police@garden-grove.org, "georgeb" <georgeb@ggcity.org>, joneill@garden-grove.org, "AssemblyMember Nguyen" <Assemblymember.Nguyen@Assembly.ca.gov>, stephaniek@garden-grove.org, "thuhan" <thuhan@garden-grove.org>, kimn@garden-grove.org, "Richard" <Richard.Santana@sen.ca.gov>, >, "cadurfey" <cadurfey@gmail.com>, "pio department" <pio\_department@ggusd.us>, "gmafi" <gmafi@ggusd.us>, kmcamis@ggusd.us, "teri rocco" <teri.rocco@ggusd.us>, nickdibs1@gmail.com, "Dina Nguyen" <dina.nguyen@ggusd.us>, "Walter Muneton" <walter.muneton@ggusd.us>, "Lan Nguyen" <lan.nguyen@ggusd.us>, "cadurfey" <cadurfey@gmail.com>, "JOHN HANNA" <JOHN.HANNA@asm.ca.gov>, "joyce rivero" <Joyce.Rivero@ocgov.com>, "Christopher Aguilera" <Christopher.Aguilera@asm.ca.gov>, "joe pak" <JOE.PAK@asm.ca.gov>**Sent:** Tuesday, February 23, 2021 7:55:18 AM**Subject:** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CITY OF GARDEN GROVE COUNCIL SUPPORT FOR AGENDIZING RESOLUTION AB 24 YEAR 2017 CVIC EDUCATION WITH AB 209 YEAR 2019 OUTDOOR EDUCATION ENVIORMENTAL EXPERIENCES AT STATE PARKS AND OTHER PUBLIC LANDS WHERE OUTDOOR ENVIORMENTAL EDUCATION

**PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CITY OF GARDEN GROVE COUNCIL SUPPORT FOR  
AGENDIZING RESOLUTION AB 24 YEAR 2017 CIVIC EDUCATION WITH AB 209 YEAR  
2019 OUTDOOR EDUCATION ENVIRONMENTAL EXPERIENCES AT STATE PARKS AND  
OTHER PUBLIC LANDS WHERE OUTDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION  
02-23-2021**

**(P.R.D.D.C.)**

**PARENTS FOR THE RIGHTS OF DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED CHILDREN**

**CRAIG A. DURFEY FOUNDER OF P.R.D.D.C.**

**P.O. BOX 937 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92842**

**CELL 714-321-8238**

**CADURFEY@GMAIL.COM**

**SOCIALEMOTIONALPAWS.COM**

**FACEBOOK: CRAIG DURFEY**

**U.S. HOUSE OF CONGRESS H2404 - HONORING CRAIG DURFEY FOR HIS FIGHT AGAINST  
AUTISM ... Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California.**

**<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2003-03-27/pdf/CREC-2003-03-27.pdf>**

**[new website socialemotionalpaws.org](http://newwebsite.socialemotionalpaws.org)**

**Mayor Steve Jones  
City of Garden Grove CA  
City Council  
GGUSD Board**

**Dear Mayor Jones**

**Please consider your support for CA AB 24 year 2017 now State  
law CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS AB 24 (Eggman) As  
Amended July 17, 2017 Majority vote ASSEMBLY: 74-0 (April 27, 2017)  
SENATE: 39-0 (August 31, 2017) Original Committee Reference: ED.  
SUMMARY: Establishes a State Seal of Civic Engagement, to be affixed to  
the diploma of qualifying high school graduates, based on a demonstration  
of excellence in civics education and participation.**

**The Senate amendments: 1) Delete the requirement that the State Board  
of Education (SBE) establish criteria for earning the State Seal of Civic  
Engagement by January 1, 2019, and instead require the Superintendent of  
Public Instruction (SPI) to develop and recommend such criteria to the SBE  
by January 1, 2020. 2) Require the SBE to adopt, reject, or modify the  
criteria by January 31, 2021. 3) Require the SPI, instead of the SBE, when**

**developing the criteria for earning the State Seal of Civic Engagement, to incorporate the Six Proven Practices for Effective Civic Learning, consult with specified individuals, and to ensure that the criteria meet specified requirements.**

**4) Add a co-author and make a technical change. FISCAL EFFECT: According to the Assembly Appropriations Committee on a prior version of this bill: Ongoing cost to the California Department of Education/SBE of about \$64,000 General Fund (GF)/Prop 98 and one-time costs of \$13,000 to develop criteria and design the seal. Ongoing costs include one year of seals and staff time to coordinate and distribute the seals.**

**The bill would not create any state reimbursable mandate cost. However, the bill could create Proposition 98/GF cost pressures because a participating school district's record maintenance and other administrative costs would need to be absorbed within the school district's existing resources. COMMENTS: Need for the bill. According to the author's office, "Civic education and participation in the United States has declined.**

**The United States ranks 139th out of 172 democracies around the world in voter participation, and less than half of eligible young people ages 18-24 voted in the 2012 elections. In California, less than 50% of high school seniors surveyed viewed being actively involved in state and local issues as their responsibility.**

**"Such findings were also corroborated during hearings in 2015 conducted by the Assembly Select Committee on Civic Engagement. Data highlighted severe racial disparities to civic AB 24 Page 2 participation across the Asian Pacific Islander, African American, and Latino communities, measured in several ways including but not limited to, contacting public officials, attending political meetings, and engaging in forms of political activism. These findings emphasized the need to better engage California's diverse student population. "A 2014 report authored by the California Task Force on K-12 Civic Learning outlined several policy recommendations to revitalize civic learning and participation in California, including badging programs which incentivize students to develop a deeper understanding of civics through active engagement in their communities. "The State Seal of Civic Engagement would provide additional incentives for students to become civically engaged over the course of their K-12 education."**

**Analysis Prepared by: Tanya Lieberman / ED. / (916) 319-2087 FN: 0001249**

**An act to add Article 7 (commencing with Section 51470) to Chapter 3 of Part 28 of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Education Code, relating to instructional programs. [ Approved by Governor October 09, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State October 09, 2017. ]**

**Requesting the Garden Grove City Council adopt a resolution in supporting GGUSD Civic activity to promote stronger education experience to provide opportunities engagement of our parks as AB 209 year 2019 AB 209 establishes the Outdoor Equity Grants Program at the Department of Parks and Recreation to provide funding for outdoor recreation and environmental education opportunities, particularly for youth in under-resourced communities, and focuses on providing transportation and programming. Nov 5, 2019**

[Bill Text - AB-209 Parks: outdoor environmental education ...](#)  
[leginfo.legislature.ca.gov > faces > billTextClient > bill ...](#)

**This bill would require the Director of Parks and Recreation to establish the Outdoor Equity Grants Program to increase the ability of underserved and at-risk populations to participate in outdoor environmental educational experiences at state parks and other public lands where outdoor environmental education programs**

[...https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201920200AB209](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201920200AB209)

**Thank You**

**Craig A. Durfey**

---

 **201720180AB24\_Assembly Floor Analysis.pdf**  
12 KB

 **201920200AB209\_Assembly Floor Analysis.pdf**  
11 KB

---

**From :** cadurfey@gmail.com

Tue, Feb 23, 2021 07:55 AM

**Subject :** PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CITY OF GARDEN GROVE  
COUNCIL SUPPORT FOR AGENDIZING RESOLUTION AB  
24 YEAR 2017 CVIC EDUCATION WITH AB 209 YEAR  
2019 OUTDOOR EDUCATION ENVIORMENTAL  
EXPERIENCES AT STATE PARKS AND OTHER PUBLIC  
LANDS WHERE OUTDOOR ENVIORMENTAL EDUCATION

 2 attachments 

**To :** citymanager@ggcity.org, 'Peter Sotherland'  
<psotherland@octa.net>, 'Maria Stipe'  
<marias@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, teresap@ggcity.org,  
'Pam Haddad' <pamha@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, 'Phat  
Bui' <phatb@ggcity.org>, cityclerk@ggcity.org,  
police@garden-grove.org, georgeb@ggcity.org,  
joneill@garden-grove.org, Assemblymember Nguyen  
<Assemblymember.Nguyen@Assembly.ca.gov>,  
stephaniek@garden-grove.org, thuhan@garden-  
grove.org, kimn@garden-grove.org, 'Richard'  
<Richard.Santana@sen.ca.gov>, >,  
cadurfey@gmail.com, pio department  
<pio\_department@ggusd.us>, <Gabriela Mafi  
<gmafi@ggusd.us>, kmcamis@ggusd.us, teri rocco  
<teri.rocco@ggusd.us>, nickdibs1@gmail.com, 'Dina  
Nguyen' <dina.nguyen@ggusd.us>, 'Walter Muneton'  
<walter.muneton@ggusd.us>, 'Lan Nguyen'  
<lan.nguyen@ggusd.us>, cadurfey@gmail.com, JOHN  
HANNA <JOHN.HANNA@asm.ca.gov>, Joyce Rivero  
<Joyce.Rivero@ocgov.com>, Christopher Aguilera  
<Christopher.Aguilera@asm.ca.gov>, JOE PAK  
<JOE.PAK@asm.ca.gov>

**PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CITY OF GARDEN GROVE COUNCIL SUPPORT FOR  
AGENDIZING RESOLUTION AB 24 YEAR 2017 CVIC EDUCATION WITH AB 209 YEAR  
2019 OUTDOOR EDUCATION ENVIORMENTAL EXPERIENCES AT STATE PARKS AND  
OTHER PUBLIC LANDS WHERE OUTDOOR ENVIORMENTAL EDUCATION**

**02-23-2021**

**(P.R.D.D.C.)**

**PARENTS FOR THE RIGHTS OF DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED CHILDREN  
CRAIG A. DURFEY FOUNDER OF P.R.D.D.C.**



**P.O.BOX 937 GARDEN GROVE, CA 92842**

**CELL 714-321-8238**

**CADURFEY@GMAIL.COM**

**SOCIALEMOTIONALPAWS.COM**

**FACEBOOK: CRAIG DURFEY**

**U.S. HOUSE OF CONGRESS H2404 - HONORING CRAIG DURFEY FOR HIS FIGHT AGAINST  
AUTISM ... Ms. LORETTA SANCHEZ of California.**

**<https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2003-03-27/pdf/CREC-2003-03-27.pdf>**

**new website [socialemotionalpaws.org](http://socialemotionalpaws.org)**

**Mayor Steve Jones  
City of Garden Grove CA  
City Council  
GGUSD Board**

**Dear Mayor Jones**

**Please consider your support for CA AB 24 year 2017 now State law CONCURRENCE IN SENATE AMENDMENTS AB 24 (Eggman) As Amended July 17, 2017 Majority vote ASSEMBLY: 74-0 (April 27, 2017) SENATE: 39-0 (August 31, 2017) Original Committee Reference: ED. SUMMARY: Establishes a State Seal of Civic Engagement, to be affixed to the diploma of qualifying high school graduates, based on a demonstration of excellence in civics education and participation.**

**The Senate amendments: 1) Delete the requirement that the State Board of Education (SBE) establish criteria for earning the State Seal of Civic Engagement by January 1, 2019, and instead require the Superintendent of Public Instruction (SPI) to develop and recommend such criteria to the SBE by January 1, 2020. 2) Require the SBE to adopt, reject, or modify the criteria by January 31, 2021. 3) Require the SPI, instead of the SBE, when developing the criteria for earning the State Seal of Civic Engagement, to incorporate the Six Proven Practices for Effective Civic Learning, consult with specified individuals, and to ensure that the criteria meet specified requirements.**

**4) Add a co-author and make a technical change. FISCAL EFFECT: According to the Assembly Appropriations Committee on a prior version of this bill: Ongoing cost to the California Department of Education/SBE of about \$64,000 General Fund (GF)/Prop 98 and one-time costs of \$13,000 to**

**develop criteria and design the seal. Ongoing costs include one year of seals and staff time to coordinate and distribute the seals.**

**The bill would not create any state reimbursable mandate cost. However, the bill could create Proposition 98/GF cost pressures because a participating school district's record maintenance and other administrative costs would need to be absorbed within the school district's existing resources. COMMENTS: Need for the bill. According to the author's office, "Civic education and participation in the United States has declined.**

**The United States ranks 139th out of 172 democracies around the world in voter participation, and less than half of eligible young people ages 18-24 voted in the 2012 elections. In California, less than 50% of high school seniors surveyed viewed being actively involved in state and local issues as their responsibility.**

**"Such findings were also corroborated during hearings in 2015 conducted by the Assembly Select Committee on Civic Engagement. Data highlighted severe racial disparities to civic AB 24 Page 2 participation across the Asian Pacific Islander, African American, and Latino communities, measured in several ways including but not limited to, contacting public officials, attending political meetings, and engaging in forms of political activism. These findings emphasized the need to better engage California's diverse student population. "A 2014 report authored by the California Task Force on K-12 Civic Learning outlined several policy recommendations to revitalize civic learning and participation in California, including badging programs which incentivize students to develop a deeper understanding of civics through active engagement in their communities. "The State Seal of Civic Engagement would provide additional incentives for students to become civically engaged over the course of their K-12 education."  
**Analysis Prepared by: Tanya Lieberman / ED. / (916) 319-2087 FN: 0001249****

[Bill Text - AB-24 Instructional programs: State Seal of Civic ...](#)  
[leginfo.legislature.ca.gov > faces > billNavClient > bill ...](#)

**An act to add Article 7 (commencing with Section 51470) to Chapter 3 of Part 28 of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Education Code, relating to instructional programs. [ Approved by Governor October 09, 2017. Filed with Secretary of State October 09, 2017. ]**

**Requesting the Garden Grove City Council adopt a resolution in supporting GGUSD Civic activity to promote stronger education experience to provide opportunities engagement of our parks as AB 209 year 2019 AB**

**209 establishes the Outdoor Equity Grants Program at the Department of Parks and Recreation to provide funding for outdoor recreation and environmental education opportunities, particularly for youth in under-resourced communities, and focuses on providing transportation and programming. Nov 5, 2019**

[Bill Text - AB-209 Parks: outdoor environmental education ...](#)  
[leginfo.legislature.ca.gov > faces > billTextClient > bill ...](#)

**This bill would require the Director of Parks and Recreation to establish the Outdoor Equity Grants Program to increase the ability of underserved and at-risk populations to participate in outdoor environmental educational experiences at state parks and other public lands where outdoor environmental education**

**programs**

[...https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201920200AB209](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201920200AB209)

**Thank You**

**Craig A. Durfey**

---

 **201720180AB24\_Assembly Floor Analysis.pdf**  
12 KB

 **201920200AB209\_Assembly Floor Analysis.pdf**  
11 KB

---

---

**PUBLIC COMMENTS 3/9**

---

**From :** Lynn Groff <lgroffrdh@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:59 PM

**Subject :** PUBLIC COMMENTS 3/9

**To :** cityclerk@ggcity.org

**Cc :** Kevin Hurley <kevinhurl42@gmail.com>, georgeb@ggcity.org, Chris Shields <Cpshields1@aol.com>, Dana Fremgen <Danaryanf@yahoo.com>

Lisa kim,

Here are my public comments for tonights GG city council meeting. I would like to come in person to ask these questions tonight, Please Advise whats is protocol to do so.

1)What is Steve Jones PERSONALLY doing to get ALL GGUSD schools to open. What are you doing to support 43,000 students of this district? 2/24, a parent GGUSD community meeting took place parents of WGG organized to get answers Steve Jones was also invited and you never RSVP'd let alone attended to hear parent concerns or questions.

2) What is our City Councils plans to do in providing GGUSD SENIORS High Schools REAL and APPROPRIATE Graduation ceremonies on their own HS Schools grounds? Your virtual 5/14 ceremony is another slap in the face to 12 years of these students' achievements.

GGUSD has FAILED 43,000 students in the last 12 mos. the leadership is non existent and when they have been questioned to provide their monetary proof of distribution they say they will and never provide it to parents

Best regards,  
Lynn Groff,  
714.614.5021  
[lgroffrdh@gmail.com](mailto:lgroffrdh@gmail.com)

---

**FW: ADU**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:21 PM

**Subject :** FW: ADU

**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

---

**From:** xeclonguyen <xeclonguyen@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Monday, March 1, 2021 9:10 PM

**To:** phatb@ggcity.org

**Subject:** ADU

Hi Mr Phát Bui !

Can you support ADU TWO STORIES and 1200 SF ?

Thanks !

Hoa

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

---

**Fwd: Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance  
Amendment No. A-027-2020  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Mon, Mar 08, 2021 09:00 AM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "oversea in" <oversea309@gmail.com>  
**To:** "Thu-ha Nguyen" <thuhan@ggcity.org>, "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>, "joneill" <joneill@ggcity.org>, "georgeb" <georgeb@ggcity.org>, "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>, "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, "Kim Nguyen" <kimn@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 5, 2021 4:48:20 PM  
**Subject:** Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020

Dear City Mayor and Councilman,  
We strongly oppose Ordinance Amendment NO. A-027-2020. it should NOT be passed.  
Michelle Truong

---

**FW: ADU**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <phatb@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** FW: ADU  
**To :** teresap@ggcity.org

Mon, Mar 08, 2021 08:50 AM

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

----- Original message -----

From: Vu Pham <vbpham2010@yahoo.com>  
Date: 3/2/21 4:57 AM (GMT-08:00)  
To: phatb@ggcity.org  
Subject: ADU

Dear Phat Bui,

I am residing at 10441 Dakota Ave Garden Grove. Please keep the ADU at 1,200 sq ft.

Thanks,

Vu Pham

---

**FW: ADU**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:20 PM

**Subject :** FW: ADU

**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

---

**From:** Tam Nguyen <exitlegacy@hotmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 12:11 PM

**To:** phatb@ggcity.org

**Subject:** ADU

Hello,

I support ADU 1200 sf

Best Regards

---



**FW: ADU**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <phatb@ggcity.org>

Mon, Mar 08, 2021 08:47 AM

**Subject :** FW: ADU

**To :** Teresa Pomeroy <teresap@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

----- Original message -----

From: Natalie tran <tandn9@yahoo.com>

Date: 3/3/21 7:46 PM (GMT-08:00)

To: phatb@ggcity.org

Cc: Teresap@ggcity.org

Subject: ADU

I do agree to continued allowed people to users up to 1200sqft . I think less than will be kinda small. I just keep it the the way it's!

Thanks!

Sent from my iPhone

---

**FW: Please keep ADU at 1200 sqft!!**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <phatb@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** FW: Please keep ADU at 1200 sqft!!  
**To :** Teresa Pomeroy <teresap@ggcity.org>

Mon, Mar 08, 2021 08:49 AM

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

----- Original message -----

From: tinadiemdinhh <tinadiemdinhh@gmail.com>  
Date: 3/2/21 12:42 PM (GMT-08:00)  
To: phatb@ggcity.org  
Subject: Please keep ADU at 1200 sqft!!

Hi! I live in the city of garden grove, and heard of the news adu square footage can be change. I would like to voice for my concern and hope ADU remains the same at 1200 square footage. Please let me know what I can do to keep things the way it is. Thank you!

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

---

**FW: ADU input request.**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <phatb@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** FW: ADU input request.  
**To :** Teresa Pomeroy <teresap@ggcity.org>

Mon, Mar 08, 2021 08:45 AM



Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

----- Original message -----

From: Loc Luu <lochungvuong1@gmail.com>  
Date: 3/7/21 1:00 PM (GMT-08:00)  
To: phatb@ggcity.org  
Subject: ADU input request.

Dear Mr. Phat Bui.

My name is Loc Tien Luu currently living in 8782 Hopi Rd, Garden Grove CA for over 20 years and would like to ask the city to allow the proposal of ADU in Garden Grove for 1200 square feet go through and not agree on the 50% square feet of the main house due to I would like to build the ADU in my backyard in near future and the 1200 square foot is exactly what I want.

Thanks,  
Loc Luu  
714-787-8807

---

---

**Fwd: Disagree with decreasing the ADU size from 1200 sqft to 1000 sqft.**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Disagree with decreasing the ADU size from 1200 sqft to 1000 sqft.  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:58 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Quyen Tat" <tatquyenn@gmail.com>  
**To:** "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>, "Thu-ha Nguyen" <thuhan@ggcity.org>, "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, "Kim Nguyen" <kimn@ggcity.org>, "georgeb" <georgeb@ggcity.org>, "joneill" <joneill@ggcity.org>, "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 11:52:17 AM  
**Subject:** Disagree with decreasing the ADU size from 1200 sqft to 1000 sqft.

To all the counsels and mayor of the city Garden Grove.

My name is Quyen Tat, the homeowner of the property 12642 Pine st. Garden Grove CA 92840.

I disagree with the proposal decreasing ADU size from 1200 sqft to 1000 sqft.

I agree with all the points of Counsel BUI that decreasing ADU size will not help at all.

The city may decrease the requirements of the landscape to 10-20% and ask the homeowner to do hardscape (Concrete) to have more parking spaces. That will solve the problem more practically.

So many houses in our city have huge lot sizes, but the living square feet are very small.

My house lot size is 8200 sqft and living space is just 800. If this were passed, It meant that I can only add an ADU just 400 sqft. This does not make sense to me.

The benefit of limiting the ADU size (1000) is pretty much nothing, but more trouble later on.

The city may have to fix this problem when it rises up by the demand of the homeowners

Thank you for your time reading my email and hopefully you will object to this proposal.

Quyen Tat

---

**Fwd: Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020 (More Restrictions and Limit Square Feet for ADU)**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:58 PM

**Subject :** Fwd: Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance  
Amendment No. A-027-2020 (More Restrictions and Limit  
Square Feet for ADU)

**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Peter Phung" <modern16142@gmail.com>

**To:** "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>

**Sent:** Friday, March 5, 2021 5:14:00 PM

**Subject:** Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020 (More Restrictions and Limit Square Feet for ADU)

Dear City Mayor and Councilman:

We strongly oppose the Ordinance Amendment NO. A-027-2020. It should NOT be passed.

Sincerely,

Peter P

---

**Fwd: Opposition to GG Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Opposition to GG Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:58 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Chi B" <orthochi@yahoo.com>  
**To:** "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, "Kim Nguyen" <kimn@ggcity.org>, "Thu-ha Nguyen" <thuhan@ggcity.org>, "joneill" <joneill@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 4, 2021 9:18:18 AM  
**Subject:** Opposition to GG Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020

Dear Mayor Jones and Council Members,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to Ordinance Amendment No A-027-2020. ADUs provide many benefits to the city and its citizens. They are helping to solve the housing crisis and provide revenue to the city in terms of income tax and property tax, a win-win situation. I am counting on all of you as elected officials of the city of Garden Grove to represent our best interests.

Thank you,

Dr. Chi Bui

---

**Fwd: Objection to the new adu change**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Objection to the new adu change  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:58 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Dang Do" <josephdangdo@icloud.com>  
**To:** "Kim Nguyen" <kimn@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 8:50:08 AM  
**Subject:** Objection to the new adu change

Voting No for the adu law change

Dear Council Member,

I've just read the news about new adu law change in Garden Grove city and I want to express my opinion to protest the Council decision in the last meeting.

ADU helps solve the housing crisis, make the city more appealing and affordable to live and contribute. A nice size ADU will make our lives feel better instead of having a small adu fitting under 1,000 sq ft and only 50% size of the main house.

A sudden change in your regulations will create huge loss for people planning to build an ADU. I request to have more time to get our feedbacks and consider carefully before making the decision. I knew nothing about this change till today. My family is working on submitting a plan and your change causes loss for us. We urge you to consider voting NO for the change.

Thank you

Joseph

---

---

**Fwd: ADU Square Footage Law Changes - Please Reconsider**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: ADU Square Footage Law Changes - Please Reconsider  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:58 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Amy Vu" <amytramvu@gmail.com>  
**To:** "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 2:34:47 PM  
**Subject:** ADU Square Footage Law Changes - Please Reconsider

Dear Ms. Klopfenstein:

I was informed that the city of Garden Grove was planning to reduce the total allotted square footage for additional dwelling units (ADUs) from 1,200 sq. ft. to 1,000 sq. ft. I am writing this email to ask that the city of Garden Grove reconsiders the decision.

As a Garden Grove resident, I totally disagree with this ADU Law change. Reducing the total allotted square footage of ADUs will reduce the quality of the living spaces. It will not solve the issue of the overcrowding.

I also think reducing the square footage of ADUs will worsen the current crisis of affordable housing since more people will have to rent a different place and pay more money to live in Garden Grove. Many people including me and my family are struggling during this pandemic, and we don't know when the economy will recover. Having to move out and pay extra money for the rents will put us in more difficult situations.

In conclusion, with the same number of residents and the same number of cars, making the ADUs smaller will not solve the overcrowding problems. It will worsen our living conditions and the housing crisis, especially during this pandemic. Therefore, I would humbly request the city of Garden Grove to reconsider the ADU law changes.

I greatly appreciate you taking the time to read this email.

Respectfully,

Amy Vu

---



**Fwd: Garden Grove - ADU Law**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Garden Grove - ADU Law  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:58 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Hung Nguyen" <hungngocnguyen1961@gmail.com>  
**To:** "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 5, 2021 1:02:05 PM  
**Subject:** Garden Grove - ADU Law

Hello,  
I live in Garden Grove, CA. I don't want to change the ADU from 1200 square feet to 1000 square feet.

From,  
Hung Nguyen

---

**Fwd: ADU size restriction**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: ADU size restriction  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:58 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Hung Ngo" <hungngo83@gmail.com>  
**To:** "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 5, 2021 9:53:53 PM  
**Subject:** Re: ADU size restriction

Dear Mr. Councilman:

My name is Hung Ngo. I'm a resident and homeowner in Garden Grove city. I'm writing to let you know that I am against the idea of limiting the ADU's maximum size to 1000sqft.

As you can see the housing in Orange County is less affordable than ever. You see homeless people everywhere on the street due to unaffordable housing. Limiting the size of ADU will only make the problem worse than it is. Cramming more people into a tiny space will only force more people to the street.

Respectfully,

Hung Ngo

---

---

**Fwd: Objection to the new ADU/JADU regulations**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Objection to the new ADU/JADU regulations  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:57 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Eric Malta" <ericmaltagg@gmail.com>  
**To:** "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, March 8, 2021 9:44:25 AM  
**Subject:** Objection to the new ADU/JADU regulations

Dear Council Member Klopfenstein:

My name is Eric Jr. Malta and I am a Garden Grove resident who resides in your district.

I object to the new ADU/JADU regulations stated in the City Council Meeting on Feb 23 regarding the easements, size of ADU, and strict requirements for ADU/JADU in the city for the following reasons:

1/ The new regulations do not act on the benefit of Garden Grove residents, creating more barriers to build the ADU/JADU to help family members and solve the housing crisis for the city and California.

2/ The timing isn't right when you request the new regulations to apply immediately instead of giving time for the public to share their opinions

3/ The new requirements do not solve the root causes and just try to solve the surface problems. When you have the right system and clear guidelines, people will follow the rules.

For those reasons, I hope that you will consider voting "No" for the new regulations. I believe that you will do the best for Garden Grove and for future generations.

Sincerely,

---

**Fwd: House 1200sf**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: House 1200sf  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:57 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "phuc nguy" <phuc\_nguy@yahoo.com>  
**To:** "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 7:09:50 PM  
**Subject:** House 1200sf

Dear Sir/Madam,  
We are Thi Tran,Vinh Nguy. We are resident in Garden Grove  
Regarding the ADU size 1000 or 1200sf, we vote for 1200sf,even more  
We bought the house,we pay for tax,we must have right to build on our property. We are  
owner,not you. If someone scared too much people in this city, you can step down for other  
people sit on your chair.  
Sincerely  
Thi Tran - Vinh Nguy.

---

**FW:**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <phatb@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** FW:  
**To :** teresap@ggcity.org

Mon, Mar 08, 2021 08:51 AM

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

----- Original message -----

From: Steve Huynh <chapter9331@hotmail.com>  
Date: 3/1/21 9:26 PM (GMT-08:00)  
To: phatb@ggcity.org  
Subject:

Hi Mr. Phat Bui,

I am living in Garden Grove. I would like you to rethink about your decision of reducing the square foot expansion of the ADU in Garden Grove.

1000 square foot addition is small for the addition ADU.

I hope that you will reverse your decision to keep it 1,200 square feet.

That will cool down the hottest of lacking housing in GG because the price of our city is so high now and continue to go up in the future.

Thank you for your attention.

Chuong Huynh

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

---

**Hi. I support to keep 1,200sft for ADU please**

---

**From :** Jenny Bui <jennybui11qb.us@gmail.com>

Fri, Mar 05, 2021 11:27 PM

**Subject :** Hi. I support to keep 1,200sft for ADU please

**To :** teresap@ggcity.org

Hi!

I support to keep 1,200sft for ADU please

Thank for your time.

Have a good one

Thanks

Jenny

--

Jenny Bui

---

---

**FW: OPPOSE TO THE REDUCE OF NEW ADU SF.**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <Phat@PhatBui.com>  
**Sender :** Phat Bui <Phat@PhatBui.com>  
**Subject :** FW: OPPOSE TO THE REDUCE OF NEW ADU SF.  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 01:38 PM

---

**From:** Diane Nguyen <dianecp2@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 12:17 PM  
**To:** phatb@ggcity.org; Phat@phatbui.com  
**Subject:** OPPOSE TO THE REDUCE OF NEW ADU SF.

Hi Mr Phat Bui.

My name is Diane Nguyen, I live in Garden Grove 11061 Lampson Ave, Garden Grove, CA. 92840.  
I oppose to the Bill of Reducing the area of new ADU less than 1,200sf

Hope the Garden Grove City Council reconsider to keep the ADU regulation as is.

Sincerely,  
Diane Nguyen

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Subject :** FW: OPPOSE TO THE REDUCE OF NEW ADU SF.  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:20 PM

---

**From:** Diane Nguyen <dianecp2@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 12:17 PM  
**To:** phatb@ggcity.org; Phat@phatbui.com  
**Subject:** OPPOSE TO THE REDUCE OF NEW ADU SF.

Hi Mr Phat Bui.

My name is Diane Nguyen, I live in Garden Grove 11061 Lampson Ave, Garden Grove, CA. 92840.  
I oppose to the Bill of Reducing the area of new ADU less than 1,200sf

Hope the Garden Grove City Council reconsider to keep the ADU regulation as is.

Sincerely,  
Diane Nguyen

---

**FW: ADU space size 1200 sq ft**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Subject :** FW: ADU space size 1200 sq ft  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:20 PM



---

**From:** thang <thangtqt@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 11:30 AM  
**To:** thang gmail <tqt.tran@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** ADU space size 1200 sq ft

Please support ADU size of 1200 sq ft

Thank

GG citizen

---



**FW: please keep adu at 1200 square feet**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Subject :** FW: please keep adu at 1200 square feet  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:19 PM



---

**From:** Phuc Dinh <phuchdinh@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 1:06 PM  
**To:** phatb@ggcity.org  
**Subject:** please keep adu at 1200 square feet

Hi,

I live in the city of garden grove, and heard of the news adu square footage can be change. I would like to voice for my concern and hope ADU remains the same at 1200 square footage. Please let me know what I can do to keep things the way it is. Thank you!

Phuc Dinh  
13192 Benton St, Garden Grove, CA 92843

---

**FW: Please keep ADU the same!**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Subject :** FW: Please keep ADU the same!  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:19 PM

---

**From:** porkchop\_177 <porkchop\_177@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 1:21 PM  
**To:** phatb@ggcity.org  
**Subject:** Please keep ADU the same!

Hi!

I would like to voice my opinion and keep garden grove ADU the same at 1200sq ft. Thank you!

13162 Kerry St. Garden Grove CA 92844

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

---

**From :** Phat Bui <phatb@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** FW: Please keep ADU the same!  
**To :** Teresa Pomeroy <teresap@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>

Tue, Mar 02, 2021 09:20 PM

Forwarding to you a resident comment for next Council meeting.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

----- Original message -----  
From: porkchop\_177 <porkchop\_177@yahoo.com>  
Date: 3/2/21 1:21 PM (GMT-08:00)  
To: phatb@ggcity.org  
Subject: Please keep ADU the same!

Hi!

I would like to voice my opinion and keep garden grove ADU the same at 1200sq ft. Thank you!

13162 Kerry St. Garden Grove CA 92844

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

---

**FW: ADU concern**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Subject :** FW: ADU concern  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:19 PM



---

**From:** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 2, 2021 5:10 PM  
**To:** pbui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Cc:** Steve R. Jones <jones4gg@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** ADU concern

Thanh Tran  
10342 McClure Avenue  
Garden Grove

714-531-3413

He wants to keep ADUs at 1,200 sq ft

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**  
City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: [pamha@ggcity.org](mailto:pamha@ggcity.org)

---

---

**FW: Please Keep ADU To 1200 Square feet**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Subject :** FW: Please Keep ADU To 1200 Square feet  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:05 PM



---

**From:** t o <tiffanyoanh@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 2:58 PM  
**To:** phatb@ggcity.org  
**Subject:** Please Keep ADU To 1200 Square feet

Thua Chu Phat Bui,  
I am a resident of garden grove. Please Keep ADU To 1200 Square feet. Very appreciate your helps and Thank you.  
Sincerely,  
Tiffany Oanh Ngo

---

**FW: Oppose ADU size reduction**

---

**From :** Phat Bui <pbui@netresultllc.com>  
**Subject :** FW: Oppose ADU size reduction  
**To :** 'Teresa Pomeroy' <teresap@ggcity.org>

Thu, Mar 04, 2021 12:05 PM



---

**From:** alan nguyen <willysdada@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 5:17 PM  
**To:** phatb@ggcity.org  
**Subject:** Oppose ADU size reduction

Thank you Mr. Phat Bui for opposing the ADU size reduction from 1200 to 1000sft. I agree with you. Please let me know what I can do to vote.

Sincerely,

Alan Nguyen

---

---

**Fwd: Object to the proposal of reducing ADU size.**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org> Tue, Mar 09, 2021 03:03 PM  
**Subject :** Fwd: Object to the proposal of reducing ADU size.  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison  
City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

----- Forwarded Message -----  
From: "Yen Cao" <y\_cao2@yahoo.com>  
To: "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>  
Cc: "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>, "Thu-ha Nguyen" <thuhan@ggcity.org>, "Kim Nguyen" <kimn@ggcity.org>, "georgeb" <georgeb@ggcity.org>, "joneill" <joneill@ggcity.org>, "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>  
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 1:21:05 PM  
Subject: Object to the proposal of reducing ADU size.

To the mayor and all the councilors of the city Garden Grove.  
My name is Yen Cao, Garden Grove residents. I disagree with the proposal decreasing ADU size from 1200 sqft to 1000 sqft. I just watched and agreed with Counsel PHAT BUI about why he objected to this proposal. Parking spaces can be added if the city allows homeowners increasing hardscape (concrete) on the front yard. That also helps the homeowners save a lot of the water that they use to keep their grass green. All the old houses in Garden Grove sizes are very small and the lot sizes are big. Some houses are just 864 sqft like my house. If this passed, it meant I can only add 432 sqft ADU 1 bed 1 bath, just like a garage. How do you have a family to live comfortably in that space? We all want to see our city become better, but not by limiting the living spaces.  
Thank you for your time reading my email and hopefully you will object to this proposal.

Yen Cao

Sent from my iPhone

---

**Fwd: I support 1200sq feet for ADU**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: I support 1200sq feet for ADU  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 03:00 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** tajpmark@gmail.com  
**To:** "Thu-ha Nguyen" <thuhan@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 7, 2021 6:47:41 PM  
**Subject:** I support 1200sq feet for ADU

Dear Diedre Nguyen,

I am voicing my support for allowing 1200 square feet for new accessory dwelling units instead of just 1000.

Thank you,  
Thai Phan

---



**Fwd: Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020 (More restrictions & Limit Square Feet for ADU)**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 03:03 PM

**Subject :** Fwd: Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020 (More restrictions & Limit Square Feet for ADU)

**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "THE BEST \"Kitchen & Bath Supplies\"" <kbdirectbuy@gmail.com>

**To:** "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>

**Sent:** Saturday, March 6, 2021 11:44:33 AM

**Subject:** Oppose City of Garden Grove Ordinance Amendment No. A-027-2020 (More restrictions & Limit Square Feet for ADU)

Dear City Mayor and Councilman:

We strongly oppose the Ordinance Amendment NO. A-027-2020. It should **NOT** be passed.

Sincerely,

Garden Grove Residents  
10281 Parliament Ave, Garden Grove, CA 92840

---

**Fwd: Objection to the new adu law change**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Objection to the new adu law change  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 03:02 PM

Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison  
City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

----- Forwarded Message -----  
From: "Dang Do" <josephdangdo@icloud.com>  
To: "georgeb" <georgeb@ggcity.org>  
Sent: Wednesday, March 3, 2021 8:52:42 AM  
Subject: Objection to the new adu law change

Dear Council Member,  
I've just read the news about new adu law change in Garden Grove city and I want to express my opinion to protest the Council decision in the last meeting.  
ADU helps solve the housing crisis, make the city more appealing and affordable to live and contribute. ADU will make our lives feel better instead of having a small adu fitting under 1,000 sq ft and only 50% size of the main house.

A sudden change in your regulations will create huge loss for people planning to build an ADU. I request to have more time to get our feedbacks and consider carefully before making the decision. I knew nothing about this change till today. My family is working on submitting a plan and your change causes loss for us. We urge you to consider voting NO for the change.

Thank you

Joseph

---

---

**Fwd: Regarding the ADU size 1000sf or 1200sf**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Regarding the ADU size 1000sf or 1200sf  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 03:02 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Tony Nguy" <tonytrung09@yahoo.com>  
**To:** "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, "Kim Nguyen" <kimn@ggcity.org>, "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>, "joneill" <joneill@ggcity.org>, "georgeb" <georgeb@ggcity.org>, "Thu-ha Nguyen" <thuhan@ggcity.org>, "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 6:52:16 PM  
**Subject:** Regarding the ADU size 1000sf or 1200sf

Dear Sir/Madam,

My name is Trung Nguy, I'm a resident in Garden Grove.

Regarding the ADU size 1000sf or 1200sf, I have some words as follows :

If we are a good, beautiful person we can't stop people from loving us or keep people stay away from us.

If we are working everyday to improve our city and keep it in good shape, it's just like a good, attractive, young lady. People who are staying in the city don't want to leave. People from out of the city want to come, settle down. All families will expand their family size over time.

Unless there was no ADU at all, 1000 or 1200 sf wouldn't make people to leave or stop people from coming, but over the long term 1000sf just makes people less comfortable (when their families expanded).

Like it or not, I think we have to accept and live together with the fact our residents will be increasing.

And if that increase is unstoppable why don't we allow people to live more comfortable in a bigger room ?

I believe when the City Council sets an ordinance that give people more comfort people will react the same way.

Regarding the parking :

- First, when I drive around the city during daytime or nighttime, I realize that more than 98% of residents staying inside their home, rarely see anyone at their front yards. How about allowing parking on the front yard, allowing people to pave 90 – 100% of the front yard for parking? Whoever wants landscape can keep landscape, whoever has multiple cars must pave to park their cars.

- Second, increase the percentage of lot coverage, allow more space for people to build more garage spaces.

- Third, in the next few years electric cars will be all over. People will want to park their electric cars in the garage or near an electric outlet for overnight charging. If we allow more garage spaces and frontyard parking, people won't park on the street. More people doesn't mean more problems. Let's say there are 1000 more residents, all local businesses will definitely have 1000 more customers (this is the business owners' most wish), but not all 1000 residents always need police, firefighters etc.

Sincerely  
Trung Nguy

---

---

**Fwd: Comments to Amendment No. A-027-2020**

---

**From :** Pam Haddad <pamha@ggcity.org>  
**Subject :** Fwd: Comments to Amendment No. A-027-2020  
**To :** cityclerk <cityclerk@ggcity.org>

Tue, Mar 09, 2021 02:59 PM

**Pamela Haddad / Council Liaison**

City of Garden Grove ~ 11222 Acacia Pkwy ~ Garden Grove, CA 92845  
Phone: 714.741.5104 ~ Email: pamha@ggcity.org

---

**From:** "Michael Holding" <michaelholding16142@gmail.com>  
**To:** "cityclerk" <cityclerk@ggcity.org>, "Stephanie Klopfenstein" <stephaniek@ggcity.org>, "Phat Bui" <phatb@ggcity.org>, "Thu-ha Nguyen" <thuhan@ggcity.org>, "joneill" <joneill@ggcity.org>, "georgeb" <georgeb@ggcity.org>, "Steve Jones" <stevej@ci.garden-grove.ca.us>, "Kim Nguyen" <kimn@ggcity.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 9, 2021 2:19:13 PM  
**Subject:** Comments to Amendment No. A-027-2020

Dear Garden Grove City Mayor & Councilman:

Improvement the city is a good thing to do. That is why I urge to address the City Council in the meeting today, March 9, at 6:30 PM regarding about the Amendment No. A-027-2020.

I believe each amendment should be proposed after tons of studies and researches are done in a proper way, which means all factors should be taken account for, such as income, population, economy, environment, etc., then come up or pick the best solutions which benefit everyone in the community and even to attract people outside the community to come join our community. Sadly, this amendment has not resolved the root of problem or brought in the best solution, not even close to alternative solution.

I really appreciate the chance to be in the public comments tonight to address my concerns because you, the City Council members, and I have the same goal eventually. We all want to give the best to our community.

Sincerely,

Mike Truong

714 902 4562

11661 Daryl Ln.

Garden Grove,

---