# **Appendix B**Writ of Mandate

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER

1821301.1

Respondents.

NEWAGE GARDEN GROVE II, LLC; KAM SANG COMPANY; DOES 5 through

Real Parties in Interest,

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 13, 2024, the Court approved the parties' stipulated Writ of Mandate in the above referenced matter. Attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is a copy of the Writ signed by the Court.

DATED: February 15, 2024 WOODRUFF & SMART, APC

RICIA R. HAGER

STRADLING, YOCCA, CARLSON & RAUTH

A Professional Corporation

Allison E. Burns Gregory Maestri

Attorneys for Respondents,

CITY OF GARDEN GROVE; CITY OF

GARDEN GROVE AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY

TO THE AGENCY FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT and GARDEN GROVE

**HOUSING AUTHORITY** 

24

25

26

27

28

Fee Exempt Per Gov. Code § 6103

1 STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH A Limited Liability Partnership 2 ALLISON E. BUŘNS (SBN: 198231) GREGORY MAESTRI (SBN: 307332) 3 660 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1600 Newport Beach, CA 92660-6422 4 Telephone: (949) 725-4000 Fax: (949) 725-4100 5 aburns@stradlinglaw.com gmaestri@stradlinglaw.com 6 7 WOODRUFF & SMART A Professional Corporation 8 RICIA R. HAGER (SBN: 234052) OMAR SANDOVAL (SBN: 175050) 9 555 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1200 Costa Mesa, CA 92626-7670 10 Telephone: (714) 558-7000 Fax: (714) 835-7787 11 rhager@woodruff.law osandoval@woodruff.law 12 13 Attorneys For Respondents, CITY OF GARDEN GROVE; CITY OF GARDEN 14 GROVE AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE AGENCY FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT; and 15 GARDEN GROVE HOUSING AUTHORITY 16 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 17 FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE, CENTRAL JUSTICE CENTER 18 19 MARLENE PEREZ; VIETRISE; MARIA CASE NO.: 30-2022-01281816-CU-WM-GUADALUPE ORTIZ; UNITE HERE CXC 20 LOCAL 11, ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO THE 21 HONORABLE MELISSA R. MCCORMICK Petitioners, DEPARTMENT: CX104 22 STIPULATION AND WRIT OF 23 **MANDATE** CITY OF GARDEN GROVE; CITY OF GARDEN GROVE AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY 24 TO THE AGENCY FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT; GARDEN GROVE 25 HOUSING AUTHORITY; ALL PERSONS DATE ACTION FILED: September 22, 2022 INTERESTED IN THE MATTER OF THE 26 SEPTEMBER 13, 2022 APPROVALS OF DISPOSITION OR SALE OF 12291 AND 27 12311 THACKERY DRIVE AND THE DISPOSITION AND DEVELOPMENT 28 AGREEMENT FOR SALE OR DEVELOPMENT OF 3.72 ACRES AND ALL

WOODRUFF, SPRADLIN & SMART ATTORNEYS AT LAW COSTA MESA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

RELATED APPROVALS FOR THE SITE B2 PROJECT; DOES 1 through 4,

Respondents.

NEWAGE GARDEN GROVE II, LLC; KAM SANG COMPANY; DOES 5 through 10,

Real Parties in Interest,

RESPONDENTS CITY OF GARDEN GROVE et al. ("City" or "Respondents")), NEWAGE GARDEN GROVE II, LLC AND KAM SANG COMPANY (collectively, "RPI") and PETITIONERS MARLENE PEREZ; VIETRISE, MARIA GUADALUPE ORTIZ; UNITE HERE LOCAL 11 (collectively, "Petitioners"), by and through their respective attorneys, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

- On September 22, 2022, Petitioners filed a Verified Petition for Writ of Mandate, Complaint for Invalidation, Injunctive and Declaratory Relief. On November 15, 2022, Petitioners filed a Verified First Amended Petition for Writ of Mandate, Complaint for Invalidation, Injunctive and Declaratory Relief (the "Petition").
- 2. The Petition challenges on various grounds, including, without limitation, California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and City codes and plans, Respondents approval of a Mitigated Negative Declaration and various other actions taken by the City Council with respect to the sale of the property and development of the project described in the Petition (the "Project"). The Project includes rezoning through land use entitlements including a Planned Unit Development amendment (PUD-141-01(A)) ("PUD"), Site Plan review (SP-107-2022) ("SP"), Street Vacation of a street and public alley (SV-002-2002) ("SV") (collectively "Entitlements"), as well as a Disposition and Development Agreement for sale of City-owned land ("DDA") (collectively "Approvals").
- 3. On November 23, 2023, the Court issued an Order establishing the briefing schedule and hearing date for this case in response to the parties' joint stipulation. Under that Order, the writ hearing is scheduled for March 25, 2024.

- 5. The parties are entering into this Stipulation and [Proposed] Writ to reflect the settlement reached by the parties with respect to the resolution of this case in a manner whereby the Court retains jurisdiction with respect to subsequent actions on the Approvals including analysis of the Project's Vehicle Miles Travelled ("VMT") and Greenhouse Gas ("GHG") impacts under CEQA, as set forth in Proposed Writ paragraph 2 below. All other claims and arguments regarding the Project, compliance with CEQA with respect to the Project, or the Approvals, that were raised in the Petition or that could have been raised, are waived, released and dismissed with prejudice.
- 6. Specifically, the parties stipulate and agree to the terms set forth in the [Proposed] Writ below.
- 7. The parties understand and stipulate that the settlement reflected in this Stipulation and [Proposed] Writ is a compromise and that no party's actions under or pursuant to this Stipulation or otherwise shall be construed as an admission of liability with respect to the Petition.
- 8. Except as agreed to by the parties outside the confines of this Stipulation, the parties stipulate and agree that each party shall bear its own costs, fees and expenses of all kinds incurred up to the date the Writ is signed, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees, arising out of or related to the Project or the Petition (collectively, "Costs") and that no party will seek to recover from the other party to the Petition any Costs.
- 9. This Stipulation may be signed in counterparts and signatures delivered electronically shall be treated as originals.

/// /// ///

///

28 | ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **WRIT**

The parties having entered into the above written stipulation and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- If Respondents and Real Party in Interest decide to proceed with the Project, including any modified version of the Project, Respondents shall (a) prepare a focused review under CEQA of the Project's or modified Project's potentially significant Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) impacts; (b) assess whether modifications are needed to the Approvals, including any mitigation measures and conditions of approval, in light of that focused CEQA analysis; and (c) approve, approve with modifications, or deny the PUD in open session during a publicly agendized meeting of the City Council pursuant to Municipal Code section 9.32.030.
- 2. Unless the parties subsequently agree to a dismissal of the Writ proceedings with prejudice and the Court enters that dismissal, the Court retains jurisdiction consistent with Public Resources Code section 21168.9(b) with respect to any challenge (i) to the actions taken by Respondents pursuant to Paragraph 1 of this Writ or (ii) to Respondents' failure to comply with Paragraph 1. All other claims and arguments relating to the Project, compliance with CEQA with respect to the Project, or the Approvals, that were or could have been raised in the Petition, including without limitation any claims or arguments in the Petition's First Cause of Action other than VMT and GHG and all claims and arguments in the Petition's Second and Third Causes of Action, are hereby waived, released and dismissed with prejudice.
- 3. To the extent that Respondents take further action to proceed with the Project or a modified version of the Project, Respondents are directed to make and file a return to this Writ summarizing any actions taken by Respondents to comply with Paragraph 1 of this Writ no later than the date that is ninety (90) days after taking those actions.
- 4. Objections, if any, to the return to the Writ shall be filed with the Court and served on Respondents and Real Parties in Interest no later than thirty (30) days after service of the

applicable return to the Writ. Any reply to those objections shall be filed with the Court and served on the objecting party no later than thirty (30) days after service of the objections.

- 5. Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21168.9(c), the Court does not direct Respondents to exercise their lawful discretion in any particular way.
- 6. Respondents and Real Parties in Interest may not make any physical changes to the environment as it relates to the property proposed for development under the Project pending discharge of this Writ in accordance with the terms of this Writ or, alternatively, the parties subsequently agreeing to dismissal of these proceedings with prejudice and the Court entering that dismissal.
- 7. Except as provided for in the parties' Stipulation above, each party shall bear its own costs, fees and expenses of all kinds incurred up to the date this Writ is signed, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees, arising out of or related to the Project or the Petition (collectively, "Costs") and no party shall seek to recover from the other parties to the Petition any Costs.

Let the foregoing peremptory writ of administrative mandate issue.

DATED: February 13, 2024

MELISSA R. MCCORMICK
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

2

3

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; I am employed by WOODRUFF & SMART in the County of Orange at 555 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1200, Costa Mesa, CA 92626-7670.

4	Costa	Mesa, CA 92626-7670.					
5	OF E	On February 15, 2024, I served the foregoing document(s) described as: <b>NOTICE NTRY OF ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION AND WRIT OF MANDATE</b>					
6		THE OF ORDER MEROVING STILL CENTROL WILL OF WILLIAM STILL					
7		by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as stated on the attached mailing list;					
8		(BY MAIL) I placed said envelope(s) for collection and mailing, following ordinary					
9	_	business practices, at the business offices of WOODRUFF & SMART, and addressed as shown on the attached service list, for deposit in the United States Postal Service. I					
10		am readily familiar with the practice of WOODRUFF & SMART for collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and said					
11		envelope(s) will be deposited with the United States Postal Service on said date in the ordinary course of business.					
12	×	(BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE - STATE) by causing the foregoing document(s) to					
13	_	be electronically served on the individual(s) listed on the attached service list by					
14		submitting an electronic version of the document to One Legal, LLC through the user interface at www.onelegal.com.					
15		(BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY) I placed said documents in envelope(s) for collection following ordinary byginess practices at the byginess offices of					
16		collection following ordinary business practices, at the business offices of WOODRUFF & SMART, and addressed as shown on the attached service list, for collection and delivery to a courier authorized by GSO/GLS and/or FedEx to receive					
17		said documents, with delivery fees provided for. I am readily familiar with the practices of WOODRUFF & SMART for collection and processing of documents for					
18		overnight delivery and said envelope(s) will be deposited for receipt by GSO/GLS and/or FedEx on said date in the ordinary course of business.					
19		·					
20		(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) I delivered such envelope(s) by hand to the offices of the addressee(s).					
21	×	(State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.					
22							
23		Executed on February 15, 2024, at Costa Mesa, California.					
24		/s/ Katie E. Kane Katie E. Kane					
25		Raue E. Rane					

26

27

28

# WOODRUFF & SMART ATTORNEYHS AT LAW COSTA MESA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# MARLENE PEREZ et al. v. CITY OF GARDEN GROVE et al. CASE NO. 30-2022-01281816-CU-WM-CXC

### SERVICE LIST

GIDEON KRACOV JORDAN R. SISSON LAW OFFICE OF GIDEON KRACOV 801 S. Grand Avenue, 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017-4645 Tel: (213) 290-6376 gk@gideonlaw.net iordan@gideonlaw.net
RRIAN FISH

Attorney for Plaintiffs MARLENE PEREZ, MARIA GUADALUPE ORTIZ, UNITE HERE LOCAL 11

BRIAN FISH CHANDRA A. ROAM BUCHALTER A Professional Corporation 655 W. Broadway, Suite 1600 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: 619-219-5335 bfish@buchalter.com croam@buchalter.com Attorneys for Real Parties-in-Interest, NEWAGE GARDEN GROVE II, LLC; and KAM SANG COMPANY, INC.