Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Cor	ntr	ac	t	Administrator
-				•

Sandra Segawa

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

NAME OF PROPOSER:

The Geo Group, Inc.

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. **PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!**

Criteria	Weight	x	Rating		Score
1. Price	30	х	XXX	=	300
2. Project Plan	35	х	8	=	280
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	9	=	315
Overall Score	100	х			895

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of ______, which is consistent with the rating scale.

Rater:

- 1. PRICE SHOULD BE NOTED PRICE BASED ON 9.625 FTE. RFP REQUESTED 10 FULL TIME POSITIONS
- 2. PROJECT PLAN

 DEMONSTRATED ABILITY TO DELIVER

 SCRUICES. PROPOSAL COMPLETE /ORGANIZE.

3. QUALIFICATIONS OF PROPOSER

PROPOSCR EXCEEDS STANDARDS OF YEARS IN OPGRATION, SPECIALIZING IN DETENTION/ CUSTORY STAFFING.

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract Administrator

Sandra Segawa

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

NAME OF PROPOSER:

G4S Secure Solutions (Option #1)

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. **PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!**

Criteria	Weight	X	Rating		Score
1. Price	30	х	XXX	=	286
2. Project Plan	35	х	8	=	280
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	8	=	280
Overall Score	100	х	7607	=	846

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of _____, which is consistent with the rating scale.

Rater:

1. PRICE SHOULD BE NOTED THAT BOTH OPTION 4/ AND OPTION # Z FALL SHORT OF THE REQUESTED 10 FTP ASICED FOR IN THE RFP.

2. PROJECT PLAN

RFP WAS WELL ORGANIZED AND PROPERLY DOCUMENTED. WELL STRUCTURED TRANSITION/TRAINING PUN.

3. QUALIFICATIONS OF PROPOSER

PRIVATE SECURITY BUSINESS. CORRECTIONS
BUSINESS SINCE 1997.

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract	Administrator
----------	---------------

Sandra Segawa

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

NAME OF PROPOSER:

G4S Secure Solutions (Option #2)

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. *PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!*

Criteria	Weight	х	Rating	=	Score
1. Price	30	х	XXX	=	268
2. Project Plan	35	х	8	=	280
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	8	=	280
Overall Score	100	х	- U	=	828

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of _______, which is consistent with the rating scale.

Rater /

1. PRICE SHOULD BE NOTED THAT BOTH OPTION #/
AND OPTION # Z FALL SHORT OF THE REQUESTE,
10 FTP ASICED FOR IN THE RFP.

2. PROJECT PLAN

RFP WAS WELL ORGANIZED AND PROPERLY DOCUMENTED. WELL STRUCTURED TRANSITION/TRAINING PUN.

3. QUALIFICATIONS OF PROPOSER

PRIVATE SECURITY BUSINESS. CORRECTIONS
BUSINESS SINCE 1997.

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract Administrator

<u>Sandra Segawa</u>

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

<u>a</u>

NAME OF PROPOSER:

The Geo Group, Inc.

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. **PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!**

Criteria	Weight	x	Rating	=	Score
1. Price	30	×	XXX	=	300
2. Project Plan	35	x	lo	. =	OIS
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	9		315
Overall Score	100	х	-		392

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of _______, which is consistent with the rating scale.

Rater:

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract Administrator

Project Mgr.

Sandra Segawa

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

<u>a</u>

NAME OF PROPOSER:

G4S Secure Solutions (Option #1)

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. **PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!**

Criteria	Weight	x	Rating	=	Score
1. Price	30	x	XXX		286
2. Project Plan	35	х	6	=	OIS
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	Z	=	320
Overall Score	100	х	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH		776

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of ______, which is consistent with the rating scale.

Rater:

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract Administrator

<u>Sandra Segawa</u>

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

<u>a</u>

NAME OF PROPOSER:

G4S Secure Solutions (Option #2)

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. **PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!**

Criteria <u> </u>	Weight	x	Rating	=	Score
1. Price	30	x	XXX		268
2. Project Plan	35	х		=	るいち
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	8		OSS
Overall Score	100	x	ene quidément d'este		TPS

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of ______, which is consistent with the rating scale.

Rater:

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract Administrator

Sandra Segawa

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

3

NAME OF PROPOSER:

The Geo Group, Inc.

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. *PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!*

Criteria	Weight	х	Rating	=	Score
1. Price	30	x	XXX	=	300
2. Project Plan	35	х	10	=	350
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	10		350
Overall Score	100	х	1 26	=	1000

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of _/ozo____, which is consistent with the rating scale.

1. PRICE

2. PROJECT PLAN

PROPOSER CHERENT CONTRACTOR, IMPLEMENTATION PLANS NOT NEEDED.
PROPOSER PAS CONTRACTOR , DITH CITY SINCE 2000.

3. QUALIFICATIONS OF PROPOSER

PROPOSER HAS OVER 30 YES OF EXPENSIVE IN JAIL PRINCE OPERATIONS CURRENTLY UNDER CONTRACT WITH (7) SEVEN CITY SAILS IN LOUGH AREA.

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract Administrator

Sandra Segawa

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

3

NAME OF PROPOSER:

G4S Secure Solutions (Option #1)

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. **PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!**

Criteria	Weight	x	Rating	=	Score
1. Price	30	×	XXX		286
2. Project Plan	35	х	116	=	350
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	х	10	=	350
Overall Score	100	х		=	986

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of 986, which is consistent with the rating scale.

Rater: K

1. PRICE

2. PROJECT PLAN

Peoposee Has shown there are in inflement a Transition plans. SERVICES MEET REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED BY GGAD/City of GARDENS GROVE.
ALL REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED BY REP HAVE BEEN MET.

3. QUALIFICATIONS OF PROPOSER

Proposer HAS SHOWN NETESTARY QUALIFICATIONS AND SUPPLIED REFORMER.

Provide Jail Management and Operational Services for the Garden Grove Police Department

RFP No. S-1162

Contract Administrator

Sandra Segawa

Project Mgr.

Chris Lawton

RATER'S NUMBER:

3

NAME OF PROPOSER:

G4S Secure Solutions (Option #2)

RATING SCALE

Use one form to compile the rating for each proposer. Rate the proposer from 1 - 10 on each criterion as they and their proposal relate to the work. NOTE: The attached narrative section must also be completed to support the evaluator's scoring for each proposal. **PLEASE DO NOT RATE THE PRICING SECTION!**

Criteria	Weight	x	Rating	=	Score
1. Price	30	х	XXX	=	268
2. Project Plan	35	х	10	=	350
3. Qualifications of Proposer	35	x	10		350
Overall Score	100	х		=	

3. I have rated the above Proposer's ability to perform the subject service according to the listed criteria and weights and calculated an overall score of 968° , which is consistent with the rating scale.

Pater

1. PRICE

2. PROJECT PLAN

SAME AS OPTION #1

3. QUALIFICATIONS OF PROPOSER

SAME AS OPTION #1.