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RE: PHASE ONE INC. Project No. 7352
Limited Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
Subject Site Location: Harbor Boulevard Site - Water Park 12581, 12591, 12625, 12721 Harbor Boulevard 12601, 12602 Leda Lane, Garden Grove, California 92840

Dear Mr. Marquez:
Enclosed is the Limited Phase II ESA Report completed by PHASE ONE INC. for the site referenced above (See Figure 1, Site Location Map). The Limited Phase II ESA was undertaken at your request, in accordance with PHASE ONE INC.'s Standard Terms and Conditions and as outlined in PHASE ONE INC.'s Letters of Intent/Authorization for Project №.7352.

The findings and conclusions of this investigation are based upon the observations of PHASE ONE INC.'s field personnel and the soil sampling analytical results reported by the contracted analytical laboratory. Our conclusions regarding the investigation are summarized in the final section of this report, Section 5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations.

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any questions regarding this report, or if we can be of additional assistance.

Sincerely,


Eric Kieselbach
President
Enclosures
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### 1.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This report presents the results of the Limited Phase II ESA conducted by PHASE ONE INC. at the Harbor Boulevard Site - Water Park, 12581, 12591, 12625, and 12721 Harbor Boulevard, 12601 and 12602 Leda Lane, Garden Grove, California 92840 (See Figure 1, Site Location Map). This Limited Phase II ESA was undertaken at the request of Carlos Marquez, City of Garden Grove, As Successor Agency to the Garden Grove Agency for Community Development, in accordance with PHASE ONE INC.'s Standard Terms and Conditions, as outlined in PHASE ONE INC.'s Letter of Intent/Authorization for Project № 7352.

At the time of this assessment, the site specifics are as follows:

- Address: Harbor Boulevard Site - Water Park 12581, 12591, 12625, and 12721 Harbor Boulevard 12601 and 12602 Leda Lane Garden Grove, CA 92840
- Acres: 17 acres
- Improvements: Vacant Land
- Current Site Use: Vacant Land
- Proposed Site Use: Water Park and Hotel
- Site Contact: Carlos Marquez, City of Garden Grove Agency for Community Development

The proposed field investigation, soil sample locations, and analyses were determined based on the conclusions and recommendations included in PHASE ONE INC.'s Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Report, Project No. 7282, dated June 27, 2012. The conclusions and recommendation of the Phase I ESA are as follows:

ITEMS OF RECOGNIZED ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION

| Condition\# | Location <br> Description | Description of Recognized Environmental <br> Condition | Level of <br> Condition |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Nearby Site <br> (12502 Harbor Blvd.) | DESCRIPTION OF CONDITION: This nearby site, <br> a gas station, is identified in the environmental records <br> search document. It has been reported as having an <br> environmental conditions associated with it that has lead <br> to the contamination of the area groundwater. The <br> possibility exists that groundwater contamination <br> generated by this nearby site extends beneath the <br> subject property. | REC* |
| ACTION SUGGESTED: No action is suggested or |  |  |  |
| recommended at this time. It does not appear that |  |  |  |
| groundwater contamination constitutes a health |  |  |  |
| hazard to the site's occupants unless the occupants |  |  |  |
| have or will come into contact with the groundwater. |  |  |  |
| Only subsurface sampling can determine whether the |  |  |  |
| groundwater beneath the site has been impacted by |  |  |  |
| off-site sources |  |  |  |

REC=Recognized Environmental Condition

### 2.0 PROPOSED FIELD INVESTIGATION

Drill one (1) boring to a maximum depth of thirty five (35) feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil and water samples will be collected. Sample collection, analysis, and boring depths are as follows

| Area | Northeast Corner of Lot |
| :--- | :---: |
| \# of Borings | 1 |
| Depth of Borings (feet) | 35 |
| Soil Sample Depths (feet) | Every 5' bgs |
| \# of Soil \& Water | 9 (includes Duplicate Water |
| Samples | Sample) |
| Analysis | EPA 8260B for VOCs |
|  | EPA 8270C for SVOCs |
|  |  |
|  | EPA 8021B TPH, BTEX, MTBE |
|  | on all Water samples; |
|  | Hold soil samples. |

Up to two (2) water samples will be collected and may be analyzed in accordance with one or more of the following analysis: United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) EPA 8260B for VOCs, EPA 8270C for SVOCs and EPA 8021B TPH BTEX and lead.

The temporary well installation will use a direct push drilling rig; this technique will increase the assurance that the temporary well will be completed and straight. The well will be completed in 1 -inch PVC casing to a depth of approximately 35 feet bgs. The 1 -inch diameter, sch. 40 PVC casing will be installed as follows: 15 feet of screened casing and 20 feet of blank casing. The well will be completed using a sand pack around the well casing, sealing the screened section, and the sealing of the overlying boring annulus, per California Department of Water Resources requirements. The well will be spurged and bailed prior to the placement of the seals. The surface of the well will remain the native soil as the well casing will be removed after sampling.

The groundwater will be sampled using a $3 / 4$-inch Teflon bailer. The well will be purged prior to sampling; the purged water will be placed in 55 drums for storage, if necessary, until the condition of the groundwater can be assessed. Groundwater samples collected will be analyzed.

If contamination is encountered, the water may require proper storage, transport and disposal. This cost is extra.

All samples submitted for analysis will be chemically analyzed at a state certified chemical laboratory.

All borings/probes will be backfilled with cuttings, bentonite or as local jurisdictions require; holes in the surface will be repaved with concrete/asphalt.

### 3.0 ACTUAL FIELD INVESTIGATION

On October 30, 2012, PHASE ONE INC. completed one direct push soil boring at the subject site. The soil boring was identified as GP-1. The locations of the soil borings are shown on Figure 2, Site Plan. Details of the actual soil borings are as follows:

BORING DETAILS

| Boring ID\# | Total <br> Depth <br> (FT) | Sample Depths (FT) | Analyses Run | Location Description (See Figure 2, Site Plan) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GP-1 | 35 | $\begin{gathered} 5,10,15,20,15, \\ 30,35, \text { Water } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA 8260B (VOCs \& Oxygenates) } \\ \text { EPA 8021B (BTEX \& MTBE) } \\ \text { EPA7420-Pb } \\ \text { EPA 8270-C (SVOCs) } \end{gathered}$ | Northeast Corner of Lot |
| TOTAL SAMPLES | 9 |  |  |  |

For the investigated areas and the soil boring locations, see Figure 2, Site Plan. All soil samples were collected following the sampling protocol included in Appendix A, Sampling Protocol.

The proposed Scope of Work detailed in Section 2.0 was not completed as outlined. The following are the deviations from the original Scope of Work:

- One soil sample 7352-GP-1-30 was analyzed for EPA 8260B VOCs (BTEX \& MTBE).
- Two (2) additional water samples were analyzed (filtered and unfiltered) for Pb (lead).


### 4.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION RESULTS

### 4.1 Subsurface Conditions Encountered

The soils encountered at the subject site within the maximum explored depth of 35 feet below ground surface (bgs) consisted of fine grained silty sand to small interspersed gravels with depth. Groundwater was encountered in the soil boring. The field personnel did not notice any unusual odors emanating from the soil samples. No other unusual conditions were noted during the field work.

### 4.2 Analytical Results

Copies of the final analytical reports are included in Appendix C, Analytical Laboratory Reports. The principal findings of the analysis of the soil samples are presented in the table below. The table below titled "Summary of Analytical Results" comments on the results of the entire EPA analysis method. All the results of all the chemicals identified above Non-Detect (ND) are compared with their respective regulatory screening levels, (when applicable) such as the United States Environmental Regional Screening Levels (USEPA RSLs) or other applicable regulatory-designated levels.

## SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Soil Sample Collected October 30, 2012

| Sample ID\# | EPA 8260B <br> (VOCs \& Oxygenates) | EPA 6010 B <br> (Lead) | Pertinent Screening Levels RWQCB ESL's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Unit | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (PPM) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (PPM) | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (PPM) |
| 7352-GP-1-30 | ND | 0.66 Lead | 750.0 Lead |
| ND <br> RWQCB ESL's <br> mg/kg <br> PPM | Non-Detect <br> Regional Water Quality Control Board Environmental Screening Levels milligrams per kilogram <br> Parts Per Million |  |  |

## SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Water Samples Non-Filtered Collected October 30, 2012

| Sample ID\# | EPA 8260B (VOCs \& Oxygenates) | $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA 8270C } \\ \text { (SVOCs) } \end{gathered}$ | EPA $6010 B$ <br> Lead | Pertinent Screening Levels RWQCB ESL's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reporting Unit | ug/L (PPB) | mg/L (PPM) | mg/L (PPM) |  |
| 7352-GP-1-A | ND | ND | 0.314 Lead | N/A <br> (No levels for Non-Filtered Water) |
| 7352-GP-1-B | ND | N/A | 0.27 Lead | N/A <br> (No levels for Non-Filtered Water) |
| ND | Non-Detect |  |  |  |
| RWQCB ESL's <br> mg/kg <br> ug/L <br> PPB <br> PPM | Regional Water Quality Control Board Environmental Screening Levels milligrams per kilogram <br> micrograms per liter <br> Parts Per Billion <br> Parts Per Million |  |  |  |

SUMMARY OF ANALYTICAL RESULTS
Water Samples, Filtered Collected October 30, 2012
Second Analysis


### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The principal findings of PHASE ONE INC.'s Limited Phase II ESA for all the areas sampled are as follows:

- No levels of VOCs (USEPA Method 8260B) or SVOCs (EPA Method 8270C) were detected that are a concern or exceed their respective reporting limits and/or any identified action levels. Further sampling for VOCs or SVOCs is not recommended.
- No levels of Pb (lead) (USEPA Method 6010B) were detected that are a concern or exceed their respective reporting limits and/or any identified action level. Further sampling for Pb (lead) is not recommended.

Based on the soil and water sample results presented in this report, PHASE ONE INC. does not find evidence of significant contamination. Therefore, the previous off-site fuel contamination does not appear to have significantly impacted the site and further investigation is not recommended. Since one of the filtered water samples was near the maximum contaminant level (MCL) for drinking water, any significant groundwater use and/or dewatering of the subject site should include periodic Pb (lead) sampling. This will insure that the groundwater use and/or dewatering activities have not caused a rise in the on-site contaminate Pb (lead) levels from the drawdown zone pulling from the nearby fuel contaminated site.

PHASE ONE INC. attempted to assess the most likely potential sources of contamination; however, it is not possible or feasible to sample all the possible locations where impact from the previous land and/or site use may have occurred. Specific areas of impact may have escaped detection due to:

1) Unknown areas where releases or spills may have occurred,
2) Unknown areas of chemicals storage and handling,
3) Difficulty in accessing suspect locations, or
4) The limited extent of the assessments performed.

### 6.0 LIMITATIONS

To achieve the study objectives stated in this report, we were required to base PHASE ONE INC.'s conclusions and recommendations on the best information available during the period the investigation was conducted and within the limits prescribed by PHASE ONE INC.'s client in the contract/authorization agreement and standard terms and conditions.

PHASE ONE INC.'s professional services were performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by environmental consultants practicing in this or similar fields. The findings were mainly based upon examination of historic records, governmental agencies lists, and laboratory analytical reports. Recommendations are based on the historic land use of the subject property, as well as features noted during the site walk and Phase II assessment. The absence of potential gross contamination sources, historic or present, does not necessarily imply that the subject property is free of any contamination. This report only represents a "due diligence" effort as to the integrity of the subject property. No other warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional conclusions or recommendations contained in this report. The limitations contained within this report supersede all other contracts or scopes of work, implied or otherwise, except those stated or acknowledged herewith.

This report is not a legal opinion. It does not necessarily comply with requirements defined in any environmental law such as the "innocent landowner defense" or "due diligence inquiry." Only legal counsel retained by the client is competent to determine the legal implications of any information, conclusions, or recommendations in this report.

The findings, conclusions, recommendations, and professional opinions contained in this report have been prepared by the staff of PHASE ONE INC., in accordance with generally accepted professional practices.

Sample results should not be construed as conclusive and binding in any way. All sampling conducted is only for the purposes of general screening and does not imply that all materials, locations, or hazardous materials have been identified nor was the sampling intended to identify every instance of the materials sampled. PHASE ONE INC. only relays the information supplied by the laboratory conducting the analysis.

### 7.0 REPORT SIGNATURE AND CERTIFICATION

## The undersigned hereby certifies that:

The following people have prepared, written, and/or reviewed the report for Project \#7352. All the below parties have, in good faith, conducted their respective project responsibilities using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by environmental consultants practicing in this or similar fields.

All parties have acted in good faith and have no known relationship with the subject site, owners, buyers, or any other entity associated with the subject site. All respective project responsibilities have been conducted independently, and with no conflict of interest.

The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct based on materials reviewed to the best of our abilities.

The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are personal, unbiased, professional, and limited only by the assumptions and qualifications stated herein. Compensation is not contingent upon an action or an event resulting from the analyses, opinions, or conclusions included in this report nor is it contingent upon the use of this report.

The investigation has been performed in accordance with all applicable legal requirements and in accordance with accepted practices prevailing in the environmental assessment and environmental consulting industries. The personnel who performed the investigation (or are under the direct supervision of personnel) whom are properly licensed and certified in accordance with the requirements of all federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations.

We have no present or prospective interest in the subject property or the parties involved.
If necessary, expert testimony and other legal appearances will be provided at our current Standard Schedule of Rates.


Eric Kieselbach President


Eric Charles Exton, Operations Manager


Nadine Kieselbach, Copy Editor

Jay Badiei, PG \#6744
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## APPENDIX A

## SAMPLING PROTOCOL

# SAMPLING PROTOCOL <br> Harbor Boulevard Site - Water Park <br> Garden Grove, California 92840 

## INTRODUCTION

This protocol outlines the field procedures utilized for the collection of soil samples as part of PHASE ONE INC.'s project number 7352.

## PRE-FIELD CONDITIONS AND ACTIVITIES

The following activities or procedures were observed as part of the sampling project:

1. Sampling intervals were approved by PHASE ONE INC. prior to field operations. An environmental professional observed the work, and collected samples at approved intervals.

## FIELD PROCEDURES: SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLING - DRILL RIG

The following procedures were observed during soil sampling operations:

1. The sampler on the drilling rig was driven by a 140 -pound hammer with a thirty-inch free fall. If the drilling rig used a pneumatic hammer rather than a free falling, down-hole hammer, the force of each stroke was assumed to be consistent with a thirty-inch free fall from a down-hole hammer. Blow counts, if noted, were recorded as the number of blows per six inches for a total of eighteen inches. Density of material was estimated by the number of "blows" required to drive the sampler the final twelve inches.
2. Soil samples from drilling rigs were collected by a modified Sprague and Henwood splitbarrel sampler. The sampler uses three, six-inch-long sample tubes that have a two-inch outer diameter.
3. The spoon sampler used by the drilling rig was driven a total of eighteen inches at each sampling interval. The first tube, which was adjacent to the shoe of the sampler, was retained for analysis. The second sample was retained as a backup for the first, and for visual description of the subsurface. The material in the last sample tube was disposed of as slough associated with the advancement of the augers into the subsurface.
4. Soil samples obtained for organic compounds were collected in glass jars.
5. After the soil samples were removed, the sampler was disassembled; scrubbed in a water bath with $\mathrm{TsP}^{\text {® }}$; rinsed in two separate water baths, the last of which contained doubledistilled water; and re-assembled with three new sample tubes.
6. Due to the loose nature of the soil (i.e. beach sand, etc.), a sand-catcher was used to collect soil samples. Between sampling intervals, the sand-catcher was washed in a $\mathrm{TsP}^{\left({ }^{(1}\right.}$ bath and rinsed.

## FIELD PROCEDURES: SUBSURFACE GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Using a new disposable polyethylene bailer, a groundwater sample is collected from each monitoring well. The samples are labeled and stored in accordance with prevailing regulatory standards. They samples are transported in a chilled cooler to a State certified DHS laboratory for analysis.

## SAMPLE COLLECTION AND LABORATORY PROTOCOL

After soil sample collection, protocol required that the following guidelines and sample tracking be followed to maintain sample integrity:

1. After retrieval, each soil sample container was sealed, labeled, and chilled. Clean ice chests were used to keep the soil samples at approximately four degrees Celsius until they were delivered to the state-certified analytical chemical laboratory.
2. The samples were delivered directly to the laboratory.
3. Sample control was maintained by a Chain-Of-Custody (COC) record, which accompanies the samples. The form documented the time, date, and person responsible during each step in the transportation process.

## SAMPLE CODING-SOIL SAMPLING

The coded sample numbering system does not reveal the client to the laboratory or other interested parties:

1. A non-water soluble marking pen is used to mark the labels, which are then applied to the sample tubes.
2. Project Number: The project number allows PHASE ONE INC. to access file and client information. Use of the project number maintains the client's confidentiality to subcontractors, while maintaining PHASE ONE INC.'s ability to identify necessary data:

Example: PHASE ONE INC. Project Number: 7334
Client Name: Phelan Development Company
The soil sample tubes have the project number written on the label as follows:

## 7334

3. Sample Number: PHASE ONE INC. numbers its soil samples in the following manner:

## T-XX-YY

| Where: |  | T Indicates type of sample symbol (see below) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | X | Indicates boring number <br> Indicates depth of sample in feet below <br> ground surface (BGS) |

Types of sample symbols (T) include:
SYMBOL TYPE OF SAMPLE HA or $\mathrm{B}=$ Hand auger soil boring

For example, if a subsurface soil sample ( $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{HA}$ ) was collected from the first soil boring $(\mathrm{X}=\mathbf{1})$ at the three-foot sampling depth $(\mathrm{Y}=3)$, the soil sample would be logged as follows:

## HA-1-3

In review, the number indicates a soil sample from soil boring number one, from a depth of three feet BGS.
4. Sample Date: Due to holding time limits for most analyses, it is important to include the date the sample was collected.

Sample Date: $\quad$ March 6, 2008
Sample Labeled: 03/06/07
5. The complete labeling of the soil sample tube includes:

Job Number with appropriate number (i.e. 7352)
Sample Number as described in point three.
Sample Date as labeled on the tube.
The sample identification information, as required by PHASE ONE INC. for the threefoot soil sample collected from boring SB-1 would be as follows:

7352
HA-1-3
03/06/07

## APPENDIX B

## SOIL BORING LOGS

(Not Included, On File at PHASE ONE INC.)

## APPENDIX C

## ANALYTICAL LABORATORY REPORT



## A \& R Laboratories <br> Formerly Microbac Southern California

1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100
FDA\# 2030513
RIVERSIDE CA, 92507
951-779-0310
www.arlaboratories.com
FAX 951-779-0344

CASE NARRATIVE

| Authorized Signature Name / Title (print) Signature / Date | Ken Zheng, President |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ken 3heng |  |
| Laboratory Job No. (Certificate of Analysis No.) | 1210-00237 |  |
| Project Name / No. | 7352 |  |
| Dates Sampled (from/to) | 10/30/12 To 10/30/12 |  |
| Dates Received (from/to) | 10/30/12 To 10/30/12 |  |
| Dates Reported (from/to) | 11/02/12 To 11/2/2012 |  |
| Chains of Custody Received | Yes |  |

Comments:

## Subcontracting

Organic Analyses
No analyses sub-contracted
Inorganic Analyses
No analyses sub-contracted

## Sample Condition(s)

All samples intact

## Positive Results (Organic Compounds)

None

## A \& R Laboratories

| Formerly Microbac Southern California |  | FDA | 2030513 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100 |  | LA City\# | 10261 |
| RIVERSIDE CA, 92507 |  | Elap\#'s | 2789 |
| 951-779-0310 | FAX 951-779-0344 |  | 2790 |
| www.arlaboratories.com | office@arlaboratories.com |  | 2122 |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

## 1210-00237

| PIIASE ONE, INC. | Date Reported | 11/02/12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $10 / 30 / 12$ |
| 23282 MLL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Invoice No. | 68826 |
| LAGUNA FILLS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
|  | Permit Number |  |
| ct: 7352 | Customer P.O. |  |

Project: 7352



1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100 RIVERSIDE CA, 92507
951-779-0310
www.arlaboratories.com office@arlaboratories.com

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#'s | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOLL VAPOR • WASTES

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

## 1210-00237

PHASE ONE, INC.
ERIC K.
23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. 160
LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653

Project: 7352


A \& R Laboratories<br>Formerly Microbac Southern California<br>1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100<br>RIVERSIDE CA, 92507<br>951-779-0310<br>www.arlaboratories.com<br>FAX 951-779-0344<br>office@arlaboratories.com

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#'s | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES
FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

1210-00237

| PHASE ONE, INC. | Date Reported | $11 / 02 / 12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $10 / 30 / 12$ |
| 23282 MLL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Invoice No. | 68826 |
| LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
| ect: 7352 | Permit Number |  |

Project: 7352

| Analysis | Result | Qual | Units | Method | DF | RL | Date | Tech |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample; 002 7352-GP-1-A |  |  |  |  | Date \& Time Sampled: |  | 10/30/12 | 9:30 |
| Sample Matrix: Aqueous ..... continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Hexanone | $<10$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 10 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Isopropyibenzene | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 4-Isopropyltoluene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Methylene Chloride | $<20$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 20 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK) | <5.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 5.0 |  | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Methyl-t-butyl Ether (MtBE) | $<1.0$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1.0 |  | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Naphthalene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} /$. | EPA 8260B | 0.50 |  | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| $n$-Propylbenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 0.50 |  | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Styrene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 0.50 |  | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 0.50 |  | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | $<1.0$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 1.0 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Tetrachloroethene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Toluene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82608 | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82608 | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260] | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Trichloroethene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260] | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu g / L$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | <0.50 |  | $\mu g / L$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Trichlorotrifluoroethane | <5.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 5.0 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Vinyl Chloride | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| $m, p$-xylenes | $<1.0$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 1.0 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| o-Xylene | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82608 | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| [VOC Surrogates] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ditromofluoromethane | 102 |  | \%REC | EPA 8260B |  | 70-130 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Tokuene-D8 | 98 |  | \%REC | EPA 8260B |  | 70-130 | 11/02/12 | KZ |
| Bromofluarobenzene | 93 |  | \%REC | EPA 8260B |  | 70-130 | 11/02/12 | KZ |
| [Semi-Volatile Organics] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Formerly Microbac Southern California 1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100 RIVERSIDE CA, 92507
951-779-0310
www.arlaboratories.com
FAX 951-779-0344
officc@arlaboratories.com

| FDAA | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#f's | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOLL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS
1210-00237

| PHASE ONE, INC. | Date Reported | 11/02/12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $10 / 30 / 12$ |
| 23282 MLL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Invoice No. | 68826 |
| LAGUNA HLLSS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
|  | Permit Number |  |
| ct: 7352 | Customer P.O. |  |

Project: 7352


$\underset{\text { Formerly Microbac Southern Califorvia }}{\text { A }}$ \&
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100
FDA\# 2030513 RIVERSIDE CA, 92507
951-779-0310
FAX 951-779-0344
LA City\# 10261
office@arlaboratories.com

| LLAPH's | 2789 |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

## 1210-00237

PHASE ONE, INC.
ERIC K.
23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. 160
LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653

| Date Reported | $11 / 02 / 12$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Received | $10 / 30 / 12$ |
| Invoice No. | 68826 |
| Cust \# | 1548 |
| Permit Number |  |
| Customer P.O. |  |

Project: 7352

| Analysis | Result | Qual | Units | Method | DF | RL | Date | Tech |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample: 002 7352-GP.1-A |  |  |  |  | Date \& Time Sampled: |  | 10/30/12 | 9:30 |
| Sample Matrix: Aqueous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ....continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fluorene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Diethyl Phthalate | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 2-Methyi-4,6-Dinitrophenol | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| n -Nitroso-Diphenylamine | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Hexachlorobenzene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Pentachlorophenol | $<0.048$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.048 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Anthracene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Phenanthrene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Di-n-Butyl Phthalate | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Fluoranthene | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1. | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Pyrene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Benzyl Butyl Phthalate(BBP) | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270¢ | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1. | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 3,3-Dichlorobenzidine | $<0.048$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.048 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| bis(2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1. | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Chrysene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | $0.0095$ | $11 / 01 / 12$ | KZ |
| Di-n-Octyl Phthalate | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82700 | 1 | $0.0095$ | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Benzo(b)ffioranthene | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Benzo(k)fltioranthene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | $11 / 01 / 12$ | KZ |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Indeno(1,2,3-c,d)pyrene | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene | <0.0095 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Benzo( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}$ ) perylene | $<0.0095$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0095 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| Dibenzofuran | $<0.0010$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0010 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 2-Methylnapthalene | $<0.0010$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0010 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 3/4-Methylphenol(Cresol) | $<0.0050$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0050 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 2-Methylphenol(0-Cresol) | $<0.0050$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0050 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 2-Nitroaniline | $<0.0030$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0030 | 11/01/12 | KZ |
| 3-Nitroaniline | $<0.0030$ |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8270C | 1 | 0.0030 | 11/01/12 | KZ |

## A \& R Laboratories



CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## CERTIFICATE OF ANAL YSIS

1210-00237

| PHASE ONE, INC. | Date Reported | $11 / 02 / 12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $10 / 30 / 12$ |
| 23282 MLL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Invoice No. | 68826 |
| LAGUNA IIILLS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
|  | Permit Number |  |
| ect $\mathbf{7 3 5 2}$ | Customer P.O. |  |

Project: 7352



| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#'s | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS
1210-00237

|  |  | Date Reported |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PIIASE ONE, INC. | 11/02/12 |  |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $10 / 30 / 12$ |
| 23282 MLL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Invoice No. | 68826 |
| LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
|  | Permit Number |  |

Project: 7352
Formerty Microbac Southern California
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE CA, 92507

| 951-779-0310 | FAX 951-779-0344 |
| :--- | :--- |
| www,arlaboratories.com | office@arlaboratories.com |

## FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOLL VAPOR • WASTES

| Analysis | Result | Qual | Units | Method | DF | RL | Date | Tech |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample: 003 7352-GP-1-B |  |  |  |  | Date \& Time Sampled: |  | 10/30/12 | 9:35 |
| Sample Matrix Aqueous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <....continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chlorotenzene | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Chloroethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Chloroform | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Chloromethane | <2.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82608 | 1 | 2.0 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 4-Chlorototuene | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Dibromochloromethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | $<10$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 10 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Dibromomethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82608 | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2-Dichforobenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,3-Dichloroberzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Dichtorodifluromethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82608 | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,2-Dichtoropropane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 82608 | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| trans-1,3-Dichforopropene | <0.50 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Diisopropyl Ether (DiPE) | $<1.0$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 1.0 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Ethylbenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Ethyl-t-Butyl Ether (EtBE) | $<1.0$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 1.0 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| 2-Hexanone | $<10$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 10 | 10/31/12 | ADF |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.50$ |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 8260B | 1 | 0.50 | 10/31/12 | ADF |


| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAAH's | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

## CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

## 1210-00237

|  | 1210-00237 | Date Reported |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PHASE ONE, INC. | 11/02/12 |  |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $10 / 30 / 12$ |
| 23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Invoice No. | 68826 |
| LAGUNA HLLS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
|  | Permit Number |  |

Project: 7352
Formerly Microbac Southern California
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE CA, 92507

| 951-779-0310 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| www.arlaboratories.com | FAX 951-779-0344 |
| office@arlaboratorics.com |  |




## A \& R Laboratories <br> Formerly Microbac Southern California

1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100 RIVERSIDE CA, 92507

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\#\# | 10261 |
| ELAPH's | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |

## CHEMISTRY - MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

Respectfully Submitted:
Ken zheng

Ken Zheng - Lab Director

## QUALIFIERS

$B=$ Detected in the associated Method Blank at a concentration above the routine RL. $\mathrm{Bi}=\mathrm{BOD}$ dilution water is over specifications. The reported result may be biased high.
$D=$ Surrogate recoveries are not calculated die to sample dilution.
$E=$ Estimated value; Value exceeds calibration level of instrument.
$H=$ Analyte was prepared and/or analyzed outside of the analytical method holding time
I = Matrix interference.
$\mathrm{J}=$ Analyte concentration detected between RL and MDL
$Q=$ One or more quality control criteria did not meet specifications. See Comments for further explanation.
$S=$ Customer provided specification limit exceeded.

## ABBREVIATIONS

DF $=$ Dilution Factor
$\mathrm{RL}=$ Reporting Limit, Adjusted by DF MDL $=$ Method Detection Limit, Adjusted by DF Qual = Qualifier
Tech $=$ Technician

As regulatory limits change frequently, A \& R Laboratories advises the recipient of this report to confirm such limits with the appropriate federal, state, or local authorities before acting in reliance on the regulatory limits provided.
For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.



| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAPH's | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

## CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES <br> FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

PHASE ONE, INC.
1210-00237
ERIC K.
23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. 160
LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653

Project: 7352

| Date Reported | $11 / 02 / 2012$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Received | $10 / 30 / 2012$ |
| Date Sampled | $10 / 30 / 2012$ |
| Invoice No. | 68826 |
| Customer \# | 1548 |
| Customer P.O. |  |




A \& R Laboratories

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAPłf's | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY - MOBILE LABORATORIES
FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOLL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

PHASE ONE, INC.
1210-00237

| Date Reported | $11 / 02 / 2012$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Received | $10 / 30 / 2012$ |
| Date Sampled | $10 / 30 / 2012$ |

Project: 7352

| Method \#\# | EPA 82700 |  |  |  |  | $\%$ | *) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QCBeference\# | 37165 | Date Analyz | : 11/1/2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Samples\% 002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Results | LCS \%REC | LCS \% Dtip | LCS \%RPD | BLESRR\%R <br> EC | Control <br> LCS \%REC | ges <br> LCS \%RPD | BLKSRR\%REC |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzen | 85 | 97 | 13 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 44-142 \\ & 20-124 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0-28 \\ & 0-27 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 87 | 92 | 6 |  | 20.12 |  | 19-122 |
| 2,4,6-Tribromophenol |  |  |  | 76 | 39-139 | 0-47 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 82 | 98 | 17 |  | 23-134 | 0-50 |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | 86 | 94 | 9 |  |  |  | 43-116 |
| 2-Fluorobiphenyt |  |  |  | 83 |  |  | 21-100 |
| 2-Ftuorophenol |  |  |  | 86 | 22-147 | 0-33 |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-Methylphe | 83 | 91 | 9 |  | 0-132 | 0-50 |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 84 | 90 | 6 |  | 47-145 | 0-23 |  |
| Acenaphthene | 86 | 92 | 7 |  | - |  | 35-114 |
| Nitrobenzene-D5 |  |  |  | 79 | 0-230 | 0-38 |  |
| n-Nitrosodi-n-Propylam | 191 | 93 | 3 |  | 14-176 | 0-47 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | 92 | 97 | 6 |  | 5-112 | 0-35 |  |
| Phenol | 89 | 96 | 8 |  |  |  | 10-94 |
| Phenot-D5 |  |  |  | 81 |  |  | $33-141$ |
| p-Terphenyl-D14 |  |  |  | 72 | 52-115 | 0-36 |  |
| Pyrene | 90 | 92 | 3 |  |  |  |  |

No method blank results were above reporting limit


Ken Zheng - President

For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.


## A \& R Laboratories

Formerly Microbac Southern California
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100

| FDA\#\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAPA's | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

CASE NARRATIVE


## Subcontracting

Inorganic Analyses
No analyses sub-contracted

## Sample Condition(s)

All samples intact

Positive Results (Organic Compounds)
None


A \& R Laboratories
Formerly Microbac Southern California
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE CA, 92507
951-779-0310
www.arlaboratories.com
FAX 951-779-0344

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#'s | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES
FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES
CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS
1211-00069

| PHASE ONE, INC. | Date Reported | $11 / 13 / 12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $11 / 12 / 12$ |
| 23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ | Invoice No. | 68893 |
| LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
| Ct: Addt'l for $\mathbf{7 3 5 2 - 1 2 1 0 - 0 0 2 3 7}$ | Permit Number |  |

Project: Addt'l for 7352-1210-00237
Customer P.O.

| Analysis | Result | Qual | Units | Method | DF | RL | Date |  | Tech |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample: 001 7352-GP-1-A |  |  |  |  | Date \& Time Sampled: |  | 10/30/12 | @ | 9:30 |
| [Metals] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals Acid Digestion | Complete |  |  | EPA 3010A | 1 |  | 11/12/12 |  | KZ |
| Lead | 0.314 |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | EPA 6010B | 1 | 0.0200 | 11/12/12 |  | KZ |

Respectfully Submitted:

> Kan 3heng

Ken Zheng - Lab Director

## QUALIFIERS

$B=$ Detected in the associated Method Blank at a concentration above the routine RL.
$B 1=B O D$ dilution water is over specifications . The reported result may be biased high.
$D=$ Surrogate recoveries are not calculated due to sample difution.
$\mathrm{E}=$ Estimated value; Vaiue exceeds calibration level of instrument.
$\mathrm{H}=$ Analyte was prepared and/or analyzed outside of the analytical method holding time
! = Matrix Interference.
$J=$ Analyte concentration detected between RL and MDL.
$Q=$ One or more quality control criteria did not meet specifications. See Comments for further explanation.
$S=$ Customer provided specification limit exceeded.

## ABBREVIATIONS

$\mathrm{DF}=$ Dilution Factor
RL $=$ Reporting Limit, Adjusted by DF
MDL = Method Detection Limit, Adjusted by DF
Qual = Qualifier
Tech $=$ Technician

As regulatory limits change frequently, $A \& R$ Laboratories advises the recipient of this report to confirm such limits with the appropriate federal, state, or local authorities before acting in reliance on the regulatory limits provided.
For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact
Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#'s | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

| PHASE ONE, INC. | 1211-00069 | Date Reported |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | 11/13/2012 |
| 23282 MLL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Date Sampled | $10 / 30 / 2012$ |
| LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653 | Invoice No. | 68893 |
|  | Customer \# | 1548 |
| Project: Addt'I for 7352-1210-00237 | Customer P.O. |  |



No method blank results were above reporting limit

Respectfully Submitted:

Kan zheng
Ken Zheng - President

For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.
8



## A \& R Laboratories

Formerly Microbac Southern California
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#'s | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY - FOOD SAFETY - MOBILE LABORATORIES
FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES
CASE NARRATIVE

| Authorized Signature Name / Title (print) | Ken Zheng, President |
| :---: | :---: |
| Signature / Date |  |
| Laboratory Job No. (Certificate of Analysis No.) | 1211-00112 |
| Project Name / No. | Addt'l for 7352-1210-00237 |
| Dates Sampled (from/to) | 10/30/12 To 10/30/12 |
| Dates Received (from/to) | 11/14/12 To 11/14/12 |
| Dates Reported (from/to) | 11/15/12 To 11/15/2012 |
| Chains of Custody Received | Yes |
| Comments: |  |

Subcontracting
Inorganic Analyses
No analyses sub-contracted

## Sample Condition(s)

All samples intact

## Positive Results (Organic Compounds)

None


A \& R Laboratories
Formerly Microbac Southern Califormia
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAPH's | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES
FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

## 1211-00112

| PHASE ONE, INC. | Date Reported | $11 / 15 / 12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $11 / 14 / 12$ |
| 23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Invoice No. | 68911 |
| LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653 | Cust \# | 1548 |
|  | Permit Number |  |
| ect: Addt'I for $\mathbf{7 3 5 2 - 1 2 1 0 - 0 0 2 3 7}$ | Customer P.O. |  |


| Analysis | Result | Qual | Units | Method | DF | RL | Date |  | Tech |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample: $001 \quad$ 7352-GP-1-A |  |  |  |  | Date \& Time Sampled: |  | 10/30/12 | @ | 9:30 |
| [Metais] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals Acid Digestion | Complete |  |  | EPA 3010A | 1 |  | 11/14/12 |  | TLB |
| Dissolved Lead | 13.9 |  | ug/L | EPA 6010 | 1 | 10.0 | 11/14/12 |  | TLB |

Respectfully Submitted:
Ken zheng

Ken Zheng - Lab Director

## QUALIFIERS

## ABBREVIATIONS

$B=$ Detected in the associated Method Blank at a concentration above the routine RL
$B 1=B O D$ dilution water is over specifications. The reported result may be biased high.
DF $=$ Dilution Factor
RL $=$ Reporting Limit, Adjusted by DF
$D=$ Surrogate recoveries are not calculated due to sample dilution.
MDL = Method Detection Limit, Adjusted by DF
$E=$ Estimated value; Value exceeds calibration level of instrument.
Qual = Qualifier
$H=$ Analyte was prepared and/or analyzed outside of the analytical method holding time
Tech $=$ Technician
$1=$ Matrix Interference.
$\mathrm{J}=$ Analyte concentration detected between RL and MDL.
$Q=$ One or more quality control criteria did not meet specifications. See Comments for further explanation.
$S=$ Customer provided specification limit exceeded.
As regulatory limits change frequently, A \& R Laboratories advises the recipient of this report to confirm such limits with the appropriate federal, state, or local authorities before acting in reliance on the regulatory limits provided.
For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.


A \& R Laboratories
Formerly Microbac Southem California
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100

| FDA\# | 2030513 |
| :--- | :--- |
| LA City\# | 10261 |
| ELAP\#'s | 2789 |
|  | 2790 |
|  | 2122 |
|  |  |

## CHEMISTRY • MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES

 FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES
## QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT

| PHASE ONE, INC. | 1211-00112 | Date Reported | 11/15/2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ERIC K. | Date Received | $11 / 14 / 2012$ |  |
| 23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. 160 | Date Sampled | $10 / 30 / 2012$ |  |
| LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653 | Invoice No. | 68911 |  |
|  | Customer \# | 1548 |  |
| Project: Addt'l for 7352-1210-00237 | Customer P.O. |  |  |



No method blank results were above reporting limit

Respectfully Submitted:
$\qquad$
Ken Zheng - President

For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.
$\stackrel{N}{\leftarrow}$ 1211 -


Comments:

## Subcontracting

Inorganic Analyses
No analyses sub-contracted

## Sample Condition(s)

All samples intact

## Positive Results (Organic Compounds)

None

A \& R Laboratories

| Formerly Microbac Southern California |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FDA\# } \\ & \text { LA City\# } \\ & \text { ELAP\#'s } \end{aligned}$ | 2030513 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100 |  |  | 10261 |
| RIVERSIDE CA, 92 |  |  | 2789 |
| $951-779-0310$ <br> www.arlaboratories.com | FAX 951-779-0344 office@arlaboratories.com |  | 2790 2122 |

## CHEMISTRY - MICROBIOLOGY • FOOD SAFETY • MOBILE LABORATORIES

FOOD • COSMETICS • WATER • SOIL • SOIL VAPOR • WASTES

## CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

## 1211-00124

PHASE ONE, INC.
ERIC K.
23282 MILL GREEK DR., STE. 160
LAGUNA HILLS, CA 92653

Project: Addt'l for 7352-1210-00237

| Date Reported | $11 / 16 / 12$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Received | $11 / 16 / 12$ |
| Invoice No. | 68917 |
| Cust \# | 1548 |
| Permit Number |  |
| Customer P.O. |  |



## QUALIFIERS

$\mathrm{B}=$ Detected in the associated Method Blank at a concentration above the routine RL.
$B 1=B O D$ dilution water is over specifications. The reported result may be biased high.
$\mathrm{D}=$ Surrogate recoveries are not calculated due to sample dilution.
$\mathrm{E}=$ Estimated value; Value exceeds calibration level of instrument.
$\mathrm{H}=$ Analyte was prepared and/or analyzed outside of the analytical method holding time
$1 \neq$ Matrix Interference.
$J=$ Analyte concentration detected between RL and MDL .
$\mathrm{Q}=$ One or more quality control criteria did not meet specifications. See Comments for further explanation.
$S=$ Customer provided specification limit exceeded.

## ABBREVIATIONS

$D F=$ Dilution Factor
RL $=$ Reporting Limit, Adjusted by DF
MDL $=$ Method Detection Limit, Adjusted by DF
Qual $=$ Qualifier
Tech $=$ Technician

As regulatory limits change frequently, A \& R Laboratories advises the recipient of this report to confirm such limits with the appropriate federal, state, or local authorities before acting in reliance on the regulatory limits provided. For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.


## A \& R Laboratories

Formerly Microbac Southern California
1401 RESEARCH PARK DRIVE, SUITE 100 RIVERSIDE CA, 92507

2030513
LA City\# 1026!

ELAPH's 2789

951-779-0310
www.arlaboratories.com
FAX 951-779-0344
office@arlaboratories.com

QUALITY CONTROL DATA REPORT
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { PHASE ONE, INC. } & \text { 1211-00124 } & \text { Date Reported } \\ \text { ERIC K. } & & \text { Date Received }\end{array}\right] 11 / 16 / 2012$


No method blank results were above reporting limit
Respectfully Submitted: $\qquad$
Ken Zheng - President

For any feedback concerning our services, please contact Marilu Escher, Project Manager at 951.779.0310. You may also contact Ken Zheng, President at arlab@arlaboratories.com.
－ッチン


## APPENDIX H

## REFERENCES

## APPENDIX H

## REFERENCES

## AGENCIES

See Appendix B for a comprehensive list of the state and local agencies consulted for this project.

## PUBLICATIONS

Basic Guide for Environmental Inspection. EEA. 1991.
Environmental Evaluations for Real Estate Transactions. Government Institutes, Inc. 1989.
"Flatland Deposits." Geological Survey Professional Paper No. 943. 1991.
"Geologic Principles for Prudent Land Use." Geological Survey Professional Paper No. 946. 1990.
"Groundwater Geology of the Valley." California Earthquakes: California Division of Mines and Geology Bulletin. 1975.

Hazardous Materials, Substances and Wastes Compliance Guide. 1990-1991.
Oil and Gas Field and Wildcat Maps. California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil and Gas. Various dates.
"Planned Utilization of the Groundwater Basins of the Coastal Plain of Los Angeles." State of California, Department of Water Resources, Bulletin No. 104. 1961 and 1988.

Principles of Contaminant Hydrogeology. Palmer, Christopher M. 1992.
Protection of Public Water Supplies from Groundwater Contamination: A Publication of the Environmental Protection Agency.
"Radon: A Homeowner's Guide to Detection and Control." 1987 and 1989.
Report and General Soil Map. California Soil Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. 1967 and 1969.

The Sourcebook for Aerial Photographs, California edition. 1992.
Underground Storage Tank Corrective Action Technologies: A Publication of the Environmental Protection Agency. 1987.

## APPENDIX I

## RESUMES

# ERIC D. KIESELBACH <br> President and CEO 

## Education

B.S. Environmental Resource Sciences: University of California, Davis, 1986

Emphasis: Water Sciences, Environmental Toxicology, Soil Sciences
Hazardous Waste Certificate Program: University of California, Davis
Additional classes in: Risk Assessment, Hazmat, Advanced Hazmat, Environmental Regulations, SARA
Compliance

## Licenses

- California State Registered Assessor, REA \#02881
- Building Inspector \#1607
- Management Planner \#1680
- Project Designer \#1839
- Contract Supervisor \#2276


## Special Oualifications

Mr. Kieselbach qualifies as an "Environmental Professional" in accordance with the US EPA's AAI (All Appropriate Inquires) 40 C.F.R. § 312.22. Mr. Kieselbach has performed numerous site investigation, assessment, and remediation of major commercial and industrial properties - in particular, large manufacturing plants requiring major remediation. Extensive knowledge of biotreatment of hydrocarbon-contaminated soils using engineered and endemic microbes. Designed, organized, and taught 40-hour SARA training program. Familiar with CFR 29, 40, and 49, SARA, CERCLA, TOSCA, RCRA, TITLE 22, Luft Manual. Significant general contractor experience, knowledgeable in all phases of commercial construction. Extensive experience in design, construction, and operation of all types and phases of remedial treatment systems.

## Summary of Experience

## 1991 - Phase One, Inc., Tustin, California - Current

As President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Kieselbach oversees the entire environmental due diligence business conducted by Phase One, Inc., including orchestrating its rapid growth and success.

## 12 years - EDK Construction, Sacramento, California

Mr. Kieselbach owned and operated this company which constructed numerous custom homes, commercial and apartment projects. He managed and oversaw multi-million dollar projects with profitable results.

## 3 years - U.S. Geological Survey, California

As a Hydrogeological Technician, Mr. Kieselbach performed soil and groundwater sampling, helped set up and design soil testing and soils laboratory, and helped write procedures and perform field tests using sophisticated electronic equipment.

## 5 years - Exceltech Inc., a full-service environmental company, Irvine, California

As an officer and Vice President, Mr. Kieselbach ran the Southern California operations for Exceltech Inc., which included the Geoscience, Engineering, Remediation, and ACT (Assessments, Compliance, and Training) Departments. He undertook major work for such companies as Shell Oil, Conoco, and Kaiser Aluminum. He was also corporate safety officer for four of the five years.

## ERIC EXTON Operations Manager

## Education

Numerous college courses focusing on science, computers, and business including: biology, micro-biology, environmental biology, chemistry, statistics, anatomy and physiology, programming in BASIC, Programming in C, programming in Pascal, advanced data structures, database programming, accounting and business law.

## Licenses and Certifications

- California State Registered Assessor, REA I \#08334
- State of California, Department of Health Services, Lead Related Construction Certificate, Inspector/Assessor ID\#17704
- Certification in Mold Inspection \& Sampling
- Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer (MCSE) \#44842
- Microsoft Certified Professional in Microsoft Windows, Windows NT, Networking, SQL Server Administration, and SQL Server Implementation


## Special Oualifications

Mr. Exton qualifies as an "Environmental Professional" in accordance with the US EPA's AAI ( All Appropriate Inquires) 40 C.F.R. § 312.22. Mr. Exton has extensive experience in managing and supervising technical and administrative staff as well as in managing remote offices. Mr. Exton has also managed large, multi-site projects that have encompassed sites in multiple states. He has been involved with Phase II projects, prepared site characterization plans, and has worked in unison with governmental agencies and clients to achieve closure for contaminated properties. He has overseen soil cleanups and the installation of ground monitoring wells. In addition, he is an expert in computer programming, networking, databases, and systems administration.

## Summary of Experiences

## 1992 - Phase One, Inc., Tustin, California - Current

Mr. Exton has written, researched, or performed the fieldwork for thousands of Phase I Environmental Site Assessments for various types of properties including manufacturing facilities, automotive repair facilities, and agricultural properties. In addition, he is the company's expert in the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). He has consulted on hundreds of NEPA compliance projects for various telecommunications companies. He has also consulted on NEPA compliance for several Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) redevelopment projects as well as CEQA projects. He has made determinations and received concurrence from the State Historical Preservation Officer (SHPO) of many states for hundreds of Section 106 compliance projects. Mr. Exton has also managed special projects including Native American consultation, endangered species mitigation, consultation with the US Fish and Wildlife Service, wetlands surveys, flood plain hydrology studies, and archaeological testing. His archaeological projects have included the discovery of human remains. Mr. Exton has also written the majority of custom software utilized by Phase One, Inc; this software has increased the company's productivity and has improved the quality of reports compiled.

## 1 year - Valmer, Inc., Palo Alto, California

Mr. Exton managed and supported the computers and network for Valmer, Inc., a computer software company. He also managed the technical support of the company's contact management software, wrote several utilities to import data from other contact management and database programs, and merged data into popular word processing and fax programs.

## APPENDIX J

ENVIRONMENTAL ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

## APPENDIX J

## ENVIRONMENTAL ACRONYMS




## SELECTED DEFINITIONS

ASPIS - This database lists potentially hazardous waste sites identified by the Historical Abandoned site Survey Program.

CERCLIS - The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) is commonly referred to as "Superfund". The United States Environmental Protection Agency maintains a database referred to as "CERCLIS", which is used by the EPA to track activities conducted under its Superfund Program.

Sites which come to EPA's attention that may have a potential for releasing hazardous substances into the environment are added to the CERCLIS inventory. EPA learns of these sites in various ways. Examples include notification by the owner, citizen complaints, state and local government identification, and as a result of other EPA investigations.

NPL - The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) maintains a National Priorities List (NPL) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. Section 9601 (1985). Sites which have previously been designated on the CERCLIS List are evaluated by the EPA and ranked according to potential risk to human health and the environmental. Those CERCLIS sites which present the greatest risk are added to the NPL, which qualifies them to receive remedial funding Through CERCLA.

RCRA - The following list has been compiled from a search of the RCRA data base list for generators (gen), transporters (trans), and treatment storage disposal facilities (TSDF) of hazardous materials. All generators of waste material are required by the Department of Health Services to have hazardous material removed from the site every sixty days. The list is generally representative of the type of businesses in the region surrounding the subject property.

## REPORT SIGNATURE SHEET AND CERTIFICATION

## The undersigned hereby certifies that:

The following people have prepared, written, and/or reviewed the Phase I Environmental Assessment Report. All the below parties have, in good faith, conducted their respective project responsibilities using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by environmental consultants practicing in this or similar fields.

All parties have acted in good faith and have no known relationship with the subject site, owners, buyers, or any other entity associated with the subject site. All respective project responsibilities have been conducted independently, and with no conflict of interest.

The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct based on materials reviewed.
The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are personal, unbiased, professional, and limited only by the assumptions and qualifications stated herein. Compensation is not contingent upon an action or an event resulting from the analyses, opinions, or conclusions included in this report. Nor is it contingent upon the use of this report.

The investigation has been performed in accordance with all applicable legal requirements and in accordance with accepted practices prevailing in the environmental assessment and asbestos consulting industries. The personnel who performed the investigation are properly licensed and certified in accordance with the requirements of all federal, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations.
$\mathrm{I} /$ We declare that, to the best of our professional knowledge and belief, $\mathrm{I} /$ we meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in $\S 312.10$ of this part.

I/We have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the subject property. I/We have developed and performed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.

We have no present or prospective interest in the subject property or the parties involved.
If necessary, expert testimony and other legal appearances will be provided for a reasonable fee to be arranged.


Eric Kieselbach
President, Technical Reviewer


Nadine Kieselbach Report Writer


Eric Exton
Operations Manager


